

WHO ARE THE BIBLICAL ANGELS? – A CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE, (#11)

Clifton A. Emahiser's
Non-Universal Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830 / emahiser.christogenea.org

In paper #10 of this series, I demonstrated how the fallen angels of Gen. 6:4 had sexual relations with the daughters of Adam-man, producing giants [*i.e.*, Nephilim, meaning “fallen ones”]. My best evidence was from Gesenius’ *Lexicon* where it is stated, in part: “(a) ... ‘to enter unto a woman ... Gen. 6:4’...”, and with כָּל, “to enter into (one’s body)”, plus “to have intercourse with anyone ...”, plus “...to put in, to insert ...”

Actually, the human body naturally produces opioids, taken up through opioid receptors in the brain, which induce the desire for sexual intercourse – the same for hunger and the fight-or-flight instinct. If not for these chemical inducements we would starve, fail to reproduce, and succumb to predation. For more on this, I will cite the following websites:

<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2012/05/26/sugar-affects-leptin-signals.aspx>

Why We Eat More than We Are Supposed To:

“50,000 years or more, humans relied on the hormone leptin to send signals to the brain to regulate what we ate; leptin tells your brain when you’ve had enough to eat. [Who can prove “50,000 years”? C.A.E.]

“It is very important that your brain is able to accurately ‘hear’ the messages leptin sends it, as otherwise your brain thinks you’re starving and will continue to feel hungry; in recent years, many people have become unable to ‘hear’ leptin’s message to stop eating because excess sugar calories are stored as leptin-releasing fat, and the resulting chronic elevation in leptin levels eventually lead to leptin-resistance.

“When you eat sugar it also triggers the production of your brain’s natural opioids – a key initiator of addiction. Your brain essentially becomes addicted to the sugar-induced opioid release, not entirely unlike addictions to morphine or heroin.

“The abnormally high stimulation of your brain’s pleasure receptors by a sugar-rich diet generates excessive reward signals in your brain. These have the potential to override normal self-control mechanisms, create tolerance and withdrawal symptoms, thus leading to addiction and overeating.

“Limiting or eliminating your sugar intake is the most effective way to break free from this disease-causing, over-eating cycle.”

Another article from the Internet is somewhat more on target on this subject, found at:

<http://paleoleap.com/appetite-hormone-part-1-understanding-leptin/>

What Is Leptin?

“Leptin is a hormone that controls appetite and body weight. It’s made in fat cells, which use it to talk to the brain about how much stored energy you have available. The more fat cells you have, the more leptin you have.

“We used to think that leptin was a kind of ‘satiety signal’ that would lower your appetite when you had enough energy stored for the time being – kind of like a built-in way to prevent us from getting too fat. But from an evolutionary perspective, this makes no sense: humans didn’t need a built-in defense against getting too fat until the past 50 years or so. That simply wasn’t an issue for us. What we needed was a defense against getting too thin: something that would turn up the ‘starvation signal’ and get us motivated to go find something to eat.

“That’s the latest perspective on leptin, too. **Leptin seems to work as a kind of emergency starvation alarm.** When leptin levels dip below a certain level, it’s a signal to batten down the hatches, slow the metabolic rate, and get hungry for everything in sight to put some skin back on your bones.

“**Keywords:** hypothalamus; food intake; ghrelin; opioid receptor.”

Another website on the Internet is more specific:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/14785171_Opioid_regulation_of_proopiomelanocortin_POMC_gene_expression_in_the_rat_brain_as_studied_by_in_situ_hybridization

“**Abstract:** Proopiomelanocortin (POMC) is the precursor of the potent opioid peptide beta-endorphin as well as a number of other active peptides. On the basis of neuroanatomical data indicating the presence of contacts between POMC neurons in the rat arcuate nucleus, it has been proposed that POMC neurons could be autoregulated. In order to investigate the role of opiates in the regulation of POMC gene expression in the rat arcuate nucleus, we studied the effects of chronic administration of the opioid drug morphine and an opiate receptor antagonist naloxone on POMC mRNA levels as measured by in situ hybridization, 4-day treatment with naloxone (4 mg/kg/day) produced a 60% increase in the number of silver grains overlying POMC neurons. Conversely, morphine (40 mg/kg/day) also administered during 4 days decreased the hybridization signal by 30%. The concomitant administration of morphine and naloxone completely prevented the effect of morphine on POMC gene expression indicating that the inhibitory influence of morphine is likely to be mediated by opioid receptors. The data obtained clearly indicate that activation of opioid receptors decreased the biosynthetic activity of POMC neurons and that conversely opiate receptor blockade caused an increase in the activity of these neurons. They are consistent with the hypothesis of an autoregulation of the POMC neuronal system by endogenous opiate peptide(s).”

The reader shouldn’t become overly concerned should he not comprehend all of these technical terms immediately, for surely they are new to the average person. The main point I wanted to establish is the fact that a living human being, as well as animals, birds or reptiles produce natural opioids within their own bodies to create a need to eat, and reproduce their own kind.

With the angels of Yahweh there appears to be a dispute whether or not the angels (the sons of heaven) can have sexual intercourse and produce children. The critics of such a view will cite Matt. 22:30-32:

“²⁹ Yahshua answered and said unto them, Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of Yahweh. ³⁰ For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of Yahweh in heaven. ³¹ But as touching the resurrection of the dead, have ye not read that which was spoken unto you by Yahweh, saying, ³² I am the Almighty of Abraham, and the Almighty of Isaac, and the Almighty of Jacob? Yahweh is not the Almighty of the dead, but of the living.”

When we contrast this passage with Gen. 6:4, we have an apparent contradiction where it states:

“There were giants [*i.e., Nephilim, or fallen ones*] in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the [*heavenly*] sons of Yahweh came in⁹³⁵ unto⁴³¹ the daughters of men¹²⁰, and they bare *children* to them, the same *became* mighty men which were of old, men of renown.”

This all points to Rev. 12:7-9 where it reads:

“⁷ And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, ⁸ And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. ⁹ And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him.”

This passage begins in the far-off distant past before the creation of Adam-man, and then springs forward until the time of Christ's birth at v. 13, and refers to the future at v. 14, where it states in part, “... **where she** (meaning the twelve tribes of Israel) **is nourished for a time, and times, and half a time ...**”, or 1260 years.

One should notice that Matt. 22:30 designates: “... **but are as the angels of Yahweh in heaven.**” Here, Christ made no mention of the angels that fell at Rev. 12:7-9! Inasmuch as Gen. 6:4 is so explicit that the fallen angels (*i.e., Nephilim, meaning fallen ones*) did have sexual intercourse with the daughters of Adam-man, surely Christ excluded the Nephilim when He made this statement! Gen. 6:4 states:

“There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in^{H935} unto^{H413} the daughters of men¹²⁰, and they bare *children* to them, the same *became* mighty men which were of old, men of renown.”

Possibly, when the “fallen ones” fell, their bodies were changed from immortal to mortal, and they became carnal in nature, and among other things would have to suffer death. If such is the case, the Nephilim live on only through their descendants which we know today as Canaanites, who have several other admixtures. That would also mean that a few of the Nephilim survived Noah's flood, which is consistent with Scripture. In fact David, in a duel, slew and beheaded one of them. At the following Internet website we have an example of a Edomite-jewish giant in our day:

<http://www.newyorker.com/culture/photo-booth/the-subject-of-an-arbus>

“... The Jewish giant was Eddie Carmel, a sideshow entertainer. Carmel was born in Tel Aviv in 1936 and immigrated to New York with his family a few years later.

At the age of fifteen, he began to show signs of abnormal growth, the result of gigantism. Carmel grew to be well over seven feet tall, and he went on to have a career that capitalized on his height, performing at venues like Hubert's Dime Museum and Flea Circus, in Times Square, which Arbus first visited in 1959. He was thirty-four years old when Arbus took this photograph of him, more than ten years after they first met, and he died two years later”



“This is substantial evidence that the Nephilim are with us still! This seed of the Nephilim would include all of the Canaanite tribes mentioned at Gen. 15:19-21, and more:

“¹⁹ The Kenites⁷⁰¹⁷, and the Kenizzites, and the Kadmonites, ²⁰ And the Hittites, and the Perizzites, and the Rephaims⁷⁴⁹⁷, ²¹ And the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Girgashites, and the Jebusites.”

Actually, the Kenites mentioned here are the descendants of Cain, while the Rephaim are descendants of the giants, or in other words, the Nephilim. All of these tribes above eventually mixed their seed with the giants, *i.e.*, the Nephilim. The giant-jew pictured above is idiomatically like “the nigger in the woodpile”, except in this case it is “the Nephilim in the woodpile”, as it only shows up occasionally through the down-line generations. Additionally, all of the arab tribes would join themselves with this racially-mixed melting-pot of Satanic seed.

We are not told exactly how the one third of the angels that fell gained the ability to have sexual intercourse, but Scripture is implicit that indeed they did. To test this, go to the *Gesenius' Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon on the Old Testament* and inspect the

Strong's #'s H935 and H413, and you will be amazed at what you will find. In this case, Gesenius' is much better than the Strong's *Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary*.

To put this whole subject into a proper perspective, it is paramount that we take into account Jude vv. 6-8:

“⁶ And the angels which kept not their first estate [*or, principality*], but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day. ⁷ Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange [*i.e., Gr. other*] flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire. ⁸ Likewise also these *filthy* dreamers defile the flesh, despise dominion, and speak evil of dignities.”

All of these three verses are speaking of **“fornication”** (i.e., mixing of different kinds). The important thing to notice here is the fact that v. 7 is a continuation of v.6, and the words **“in like manner”** implies that the **“angels”** of v. 6 also committed **“fornication”**! But fornication with what or whom? 2 Peter 2:4 also makes mention of this, stating:

“⁴ For if Yahweh spared not the angels that sinned, but cast *them* down to hell, and delivered *them* into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment; ⁵ And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth *person*, [*i.e., 8th Melchizedek*] preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly; ⁶ And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrha into ashes condemned *them* with an overthrow, making *them* an ensample unto those that after should live ungodly ...”

Here, again at vv. 4-6, the sins of the **“angels”**, and the sins of **“Sodom and Gomorrha”** are identical in the mixing of different kinds, or fornication (i.e., race-mixing). Again, although two events, the subject throughout these three verses doesn't change, and the **“angels”** that fell and **“Sodom and Gomorrha”** are in the same **fornication** category.

For the sins of the fallen angels, we will repeat an excerpt from *The Dead Sea Scrolls, A New Translation* by Michael Wise, Martin Abegg Jr. and Edward Cook, on page 247, a translation of 1Q23, fragment 1:

“¹ [... two hundred] ² donkeys, two hundred asses, two hund[red ... rams of the] ³ flock, two hundred goats, two hundred [... beast of the] ⁴ field from every animal, from every [bird ...] ⁵ [...] for **miscegenation [...]”.**

This is ghastly evidence that the angels mixed their seed (sperm) with animal and other kinds. Therefore, it isn't too difficult to comprehend how the nonwhite races, such as black Africans (like little black Sambo in the Belgian Congo) came into existence, including the giants of Gen. 6:4!

It should become evident that there are two kinds of biped humanoids: (1) white Adam-men who were created by Yahweh, and (2) nonwhite biped humanoids, who are the offspring of the fallen angels and animal-kind. These are distinguished in the Bible as those born of the world, or those born from above by their creator, Yahweh. Yahshua Christ made this very clear at John 8:23:

“23 And he [Yahshua Christ] said unto them [the Edomite-jews] , Ye are from beneath; I am from above: ye are of this world; I am not of this world.”

Again, at John 17:14-16, we read:

“14 I [Yahshua Christ] have given them [the lost sheep of Israel] thy word; and the world hath hated them, because they are not of the world, even as I am not of the world. 15 I pray not that thou shouldest take them out of the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil. 16 They are not of the world, even as I am not of the world.”

Again, at John 15:18-19, it is written:

“18 If the world hate you [the lost sheep of Israel], ye know that it hated me [Yahshua Christ] before it hated you. 19 If ye were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you.”

This establishes, beyond a mere preponderance of the evidence, that the nonwhite peoples among our pureblood ed White (Adamic, Caucasian) communities were not created by our Almighty, Yahweh. It is paramount, therefore, to separate our heavenly sheep from Satan's earthly goats! Towards this we note various peoples are idiomatically referred to as “vines” and “plants” etc., and Matthew 15:12-13 is one good example:

“12 Then came his disciples, and said unto him [i.e., Yahshua Christ], Knowest thou that the Pharisees were offended, after they heard this saying? 13 But he [Christ] answered and said, Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up.”

This is meaningful evidence that Yahweh Almighty did **NOT** create all of the races we find in Biblical history, to say nothing of the accursed “indigenous” admixtures we see at our present day! Only White Adamites were/are true sons and daughters of Yahweh. The nonwhite races evolved from a mixture, one way or another, between angel-kind and animal-kind, and giantism was one of the results from that type of union. All of this miscegenation appears comparable to dumping all of these mixed-ethnic beings into a huge blender, and churning them into one large putrefied cesspool of toxic residue, (i.e., sewer people).

How did all of this happen? Well, we know for sure that the fallen angels mixed their seed with the seed of the daughters of Adam by sexual intercourse, as we have already researched. But the mixing of the seed of the fallen angel-kind with the seed of animal-kind is a lot more complicated. We already know that a mule is the offspring of a donkey and a horse, especially the offspring of a jackass and a mare, but that wouldn't produce a race of people! We also know that modern animal breeders can accomplish the same thing by artificial insemination, and get the same result.

We have also become familiar with modern-day gene-splicing which alters the characteristics of fruit and vegetables such as seedless watermelons (called GMOs), but gene-splicing would be next to impossible with animals and people.

. There are two factors that determine the genetics of the offspring child, (1) the 46 chromosomes, (23 from each parent), and (2) Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA).

From the following website we read in part:

<http://pages.ucsd.edu/~dkjordan/resources/clarifications/MitochondrialEve.html>

“Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is not located in the cell **nucleus** and therefore is not subject to genetic recombination during mitosis. It also is not subject to the ‘mixing’ of male and female genetic material that comes with fertilization”

Therefore, mtDNA is around the periphery of the cell, and not in the center with the 46 chromosomes! Hence, in the case of a fallen angel committing miscegenation with an animal, it is the mtDNA of the female animal that determines the racial characteristics of the offspring. Thus, it is no wonder that some people have features like an animal! This is probably the reason that negroid and mongolian bipeds appear to be from a simian family background. This is the only reasonable explanation to account for the nonwhite races!

There is a world of difference between creation and corruption. In other words, Yahweh created the Adamic White Caucasian man, and the fallen angels proceeded to corrupt both Adam-man and the animals that Yahweh had created. So if some people appear somewhat like apes, it is because of “... **the angels that sinned** ...”, 2 Peter 2-4, and is not the fault of Yahweh Almighty.

With the next issue #12 of this series, I will reenforce this thesis with an article entitled “Heredity” from the 1980 *Collier’s Encyclopedia*