

WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER

Monthly Letter #188 December 2013; Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830 / emahiser.christogenea.org

TO THOSE WHOM THE COVENANT BELONGS

A NON-UNIVERSAL CULTURE AWARENESS INSTRUCTIONAL PUBLICATION

This is a non-copyrighted teaching letter.
Please feel free to make as many copies as you wish, but not to edit.

A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER

This is my one hundred and eighty-eighth monthly teaching letter and continues my sixteenth year of publication. Since WTL #137, I have been continuing a series entitled *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told*, and have been expanding on its seven stages ever since: (1) the courtship, (2) the marriage, (3) the honeymoon, (4) the estrangement, (5) the divorce, (6) the reconciliation, and (7) the remarriage.

THE GREATEST LOVE STORY EVER TOLD, Part 47, THE DIVORCE:

With the last lesson, WTL #187, we considered some of the very unusual marriage and divorce situations that existed among the twelve tribes of Israel, some of which were exceptions to the laws set forth in Deuteronomy 24 and other related passages. But when we examine each and every seeming deviation from the norm, we will arrive at the truth by reconciling the context. When one is given the impression that he has found two conflicting passages in Scripture, it is an indication more research and study is needed. Sometimes, it may be a corruption of the original Hebrew or Greek that is at fault. For instance, Genesis 4:1 is proven by linguistic scholars to be probably the most corrupted passage in the Bible, both in the Masoretic and Septuagint texts. Also Deut. 23:7 is so nefarious it defies understanding:

“Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite; for he *is* thy brother: thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land.”

The problem with this passage is that the Hebrew “**d**” looks so much like a Hebrew “**r**”, that somewhere along the way a scribe or copyist confused the “**r**” for a “**d**” and thereby changed “Aramean” (i.e., Syrian) to “Edomite”. Once we understand that father Abraham was an “Aramean” (i.e., Syrian), the whole matter clears up. This passage should read thusly:

“Thou shalt not abhor an Aramean [*i.e., Syrian*]; for he *is* thy brother: thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land.”

With just this very small change in the Hebrew writing, the word can be changed from Syrian to Edomite! Think of the difference this way, aRam (אֲרָם) or eDom (אֲדָם), syRian or eDomite. By this above slight change, the Hebrew “**r**” sound is changed to a “**d**” sound. For those not familiar with this error in the Biblical text, please refer to my WTL #42! Now, I was sure there was something wrong with Deut. 23:7, but it took me about fifteen years to discover what it was. I also worked on my paper, *The Problem*

With Genesis 4:1, until I had adequate evidence that it was indeed corrupt before I finally released and circulated it. Regarding Gen. 4:1, there is no second witness in the entire Bible that indicates that Adam was Cain's father, but a multitude of witnesses that Adam WAS NOT Cain's father! In fact, one must make Yahshua Christ a liar to insist that Adam was Cain's father! So if one feels comfortable insinuating that Yahshua Christ is a liar, that's his prerogative (*i.e., special right or privilege*). However, don't expect me to rubber-stamp such an asinine opinion, when it is quite clear there is ABSOLUTELY NO WAY that Adam could have been Cain's father! It's one thing to surface-read the Bible, but altogether a different thing to research and study it! How many are there who will research for fifteen years on just one word to get it right, especially when nearly everyone is telling them that they're wrong?

While we are discussing various spurious Biblical concepts which simply are not true, I will repeat here an article I wrote entitled *The Insane Doctrine of Personal Salvation vs. Covenant Theology, #1*.

It should be pointed out here that the marriage of Yahweh to His Cinderella bride, the twelve tribes of Israel has everything to do with Covenant Theology, and absolutely nothing to do with "personal salvation"! Likewise, Yahweh's divorce of the two houses of Israel, and His yet future remarriage to all twelve tribes, also has everything to do with Covenant Theology, and positively nothing to do with "personal salvation"! [The next paragraph, the beginning of my previous essay.]

It is simply amazing how nominal churchianity attempts to tell us that John 3:16 is the "golden text of the Bible". While John 3:16 is truly in the Scripture, it is best rendered by William Finck in his *The Christogenea New Testament*:

"For Yahweh so loved the Society, that He gave the most-beloved Son, in order that each who believes in Him would not be lost but would have eternal life."

"Believing" in Christ's blood to bring we Israelites back under the Abrahamic Covenant is the key! In order to properly understand the context of this verse, it is imperative that we ask: what, when, where, why, how and to whom does it pertain? Inasmuch as the whole context of the Bible hangs on Covenant Theology, the "whom" can only be Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons, and their descendants! In other words, Yahweh loved whom? Or which Society? It's not for just anyone or everyone!

When Yahweh had taken one of Adam's ribs and created Eve, then presented her to him, he exclaimed: **"This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh ..."**, Gen. 2:23. As Eve was the same race as Adam, so are we Anglo-Saxon and related peoples the same race as Christ! At Eph. 5:29-30 we read:

"²⁹ For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as Yahshua the church: ³⁰ For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones." [*i.e., same race*]

To rightly interpret this passage, it can only be referring to the Adamic race. And of that race, primarily Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons and their offspring. How dare nominal churchianity falsely claim that the Gospel is also meant for nonwhites! David Livingstone, and Henry Morton Stanley never had any Biblical

authority to take the New Covenant Gospel to the blacks in Africa! David Livingstone, the so-called Scottish medical missionary, once unwisely wrote, "All I can add in my loneliness is may Heaven's rich blessings come down on everyone, American, English, or Turk, who will help to heal the open sore of the world", *A History of England* by Goldwin Smith, p. 658.

We can only apologize for Livingstone's momentous failure to properly distinguish White Englishmen and Americans from racially-mixed Turks [i.e., "Kirghizes, Sarts, Kalmucks, Usbeks, Tajiks and other races in lesser numbers", *World Scope Encyclopedia* under "Turkestan"]. Here we have David Livingstone bestowing "... Heaven's rich blessings ..." on a very racially corrupted people! That is why I still insist that the highest level of Christian Israel Identity (C.I.I.) is Covenant Theology! The problem is (and I include myself), when we first learn C.I.I., we drag a lot of excess baggage out of the various denominations. My experience has been that I had to scrutinize everything I thought I had learned (or I thought I knew), and start all over from the very beginning!

Now to understand why Yahweh chose Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons, and their offspring above all others, we will consult William Finck's *The Christogenea New Testament*, Hebrews 11:1-10:

"¹ Now faith is expecting an assurance, evidence of the facts not being seen. ² For by this were the elders accredited. ³ By faith we perceive the ages to be furnished by the word of Yahweh, in which that which is seen has not come into being from things visible. ⁴ By faith Abel offered to Yahweh a better sacrifice than Cain, through which he was accredited to be righteous, having testified of Yahweh by his gifts, and being slain because of it he still speaks. ⁵ By faith Enoch was translated, not to see death, and was not found because Yahweh translated him; for before the translation he was accredited to be well pleasing to Yahweh. ⁶ But without faith it is impossible to please. Indeed it is necessary for one approaching Yahweh to believe that He is, and for those seeking Him, He becomes a rewarder. ⁷ By faith Noah was warned. Being cautious about things not yet seen he prepared a vessel for preservation of his house; by which he condemned the Society, and of that righteousness in accordance with faith he became heir. ⁸ By faith Abraham being called had obeyed, to go out into a place which he was going to receive for an inheritance, and went out not knowing where he would go. ⁹ By faith he sojourned in a land of the promise, as an alien having dwelt in tents with Isaac and Jakob, the joint heirs of that same promise. ¹⁰ For he was awaiting a city having those foundations of which Yahweh is craftsman and fabricator."

From this passage in Hebrews, it is clear that Abraham was the last Adamic man that believed Yahweh. Like Noah, Abraham was singled out to receive Yahweh's inheritance in the form of a Covenant. Had there been any other Adamic man living that believed Yahweh at the time, as Abraham did, being a just Ruler, He would have had to include that other person with Abraham. There wasn't any and He didn't! In other words, Abraham, in his day, was Yahweh's last chance to select a chosen people (possibly forever). We can only conclude that all other Adamic men (whether of Ham,

Japheth, or the remaining portion of Shem) were excluded from the Abrahamic Covenant. Another way to put it is: The first ten chapters of Genesis concerns itself with the creation of the White Race, and the rest of the Bible pertains to one man and his family! This family was/is to be “patriarchal” in nature, not “matriarchal”. Wives are to be subject to their husband’s authority in our White Israelite society, but women are delegated authority of their own, and exercise vast influence, if they have remained pure from contamination via sexual encounter with racial aliens! As a result of Yahweh’s Covenant with Abraham, those under the Covenant become “free”, while those not under the Covenant become “tributary” or servants to the “free”. There is no possible Biblical way that anyone, on their own initiative, can willingly receive Yahshua Christ as their personal savior. The Bible proclaims quite the contrary!

THE SILLY NOTION THAT MAN CAN CHOOSE YAHWEH!

It is clearly stated at John 15:13-17: “¹³ **Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.** ¹⁴ **Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you.** ¹⁵ **Henceforth I call you not servants; for the servant knoweth not what his master doeth: but I have called you friends; for all things that I have heard of my Father I have made known unto you.** ¹⁶ **Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and that your fruit should remain: that whatsoever ye shall ask of the Father in my name, he may give it you.** ¹⁷ **These things I command you, that ye love one another.”**

This should convince us that if we are not “chosen” by Yahweh, we have no hope of ever coming to Him. Here Christ personally chose His disciples. Are we to assume that somehow we are in a special class above His disciples? Additionally, if one is not “drawn” by Yahweh, there is no hope that one can be “drawn” to Him, John 6:44-45, 65: “⁴⁴ **No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day.** ⁴⁵ **It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all taught of God. Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me. ...** ⁶⁵ **And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.**”

Another passage that spells this out loud and clear is 1 John 4:9-10. We shall see that Yahweh in the flesh came to us, not we to Him!: “⁹ **In this was manifested the love of God [i.e., Yahweh] toward us, because that God sent his only begotten Son into the world, that we might live through him.** ¹⁰ **Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins.**”

Yet again, Titus 3:4-6 explains Yahweh’s “kindness” toward man, not man’s “kindness” or affection toward Yahweh: “⁴ **But after that the kindness and love of God [i.e., Yahweh in the flesh] our Saviour toward man appeared,** ⁵ **Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost;** ⁶ **Which he shed on us abundantly through Yahshua Christ our Saviour ...”**

Commenting on John 6:44, Adam Clarke states in vol. 5 of 6, page 337: “... **Except the father ... draw him** – But how is a man drawn? St. Augustine answers from

the poet, *Trahit sua quemque voluptas*: A man is attracted by that which he delights in. So God draws man: he shows him his wants – he shows him the Savior whom he has provided for him. Unless God thus draw, no man will ever come to Christ; because none could without this drawing, [nor] ever feel the need of a Saviour. [All outward influences and inward perceptions and dispositions, which lead men to God, and all the powers by which they seek him are divine bestowments, and the salvation of the sinner is therefore purely a matter of grace on God’s part toward him]” [*underlining mine*]

When are we ever going to learn that we, as descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, can do absolutely nothing to bring ourselves to Yahweh! I have said it before, and I will repeat it again, “The highest level of Israel Identity is Covenant Theology.” I have spent many of my 85 years in churchianity, and I can attest that the churches I attended and the many sermons I have heard taught very little about the Biblical Covenants, and when they did, they twisted them up like pretzels. In the book of Genesis, we have a very abbreviated story of the creation; Noah’s flood; the tower of Babel; and starting with Genesis chapter 12, we have the call of Abraham. With the call of Abraham, and throughout the rest of the Bible, the entire context is that of one man (Abraham) and his family, and **no one else!** There were eight other Covenants with Adam-man, and all of Adam’s descendants will be in the resurrection. However, all those born of Sarah will be “free”, while those **NOT BORN** of Sarah will remain “bond”. Other than these, I believe that the priest-line from Adam, Abel, Seth, Enos, Cainan, Mahalaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, Noah, Shem, Arphaxad, Kainan, Salah, Heber, Peleg, Rue, Serug, Nahor #2, and Terah, as firstborn priests of the order of Melchizedek, of which Christ became the greatest, will hold a special place among the Patriarchs, equivalent to those under the Abrahamic Covenant. (The Bible does not record the origin of the nonwhite races, nor do they fall under any of Yahweh’s nine covenants with Adam-man!) It’s sacrilege to believe otherwise!

From my *Watchman’s Teaching Letter #35*, February 2001, I wrote: “If the oldest living patriarch was the family priestking, Abraham probably paid his tithes to Nahor #2, his brother, rather than Shem. Also, it was found in the Masoretic text that Heber was born before Abraham, and died after him. This is highly unlikely as Heber was Abraham’s great, great, great, great grandfather. Genesis 11:26-27 tells us that Abraham had two brothers, Nahor and Haran. Inasmuch as Nahor #1 (Abraham’s grandfather) died, and Terah became pagan, the priest-king office was probably left to Nahor #2; Haran having died before Abram and Terah left Ur of the Chaldees (Gen. 11:28). Therefore, I believe it is possible that Nahor #2 may have been Abraham’s priest of the order of Melchizedek.

“Because many use Ussher’s chronology, they believe that Shem was contemporary with Abraham, and that Shem was the one to whom Abraham paid his tithes. I was also under the same mistaken impression until I took the time to check the Masoretic text against the Septuagint. After making a chart of both chronologies, I found that the Septuagint has Shem dead for about 650 years before Abraham was born. There is a total discrepancy of 1486 years between the Masoretic and Septuagint chronologies.”

Within Howard B. Rand's *Destiny Magazine* for April, 1947, he published a continuing periodical entitled "The March Of History", and under the subtitle "Truth Is Eternal", the following was written:

"EVERY WORTHWHILE MOVEMENT IS troubled with those who, because of the 'loaves and fishes,' make a pretense of following and advocating its tenets of belief. The propagation of the truth concerning the modern identity, responsibility and destiny of Israel is no exception. It is the peculiar twists given to the truth which we are proclaiming by certain mentally unfortunate individuals, as well as the quacks and impostors, which our opponents delight to quote against the truth itself. Of course the opponents who do so are dishonest for they are fully aware of the source from which they secure this misinformation; nevertheless, they use it because such false statements serve their purposes in the campaign of misrepresentation.

"After all, the Church itself has been afflicted with charlatans who have used the Gospel of personal salvation for purposes of personal gain, but no one today would think of condemning the truth proclaimed by the Church because of such misuse of the message. Why, then, should the Gospel of the Kingdom which we proclaim be condemned because certain individuals have not only misused that Gospel for personal gain but have also added to it doctrines of their own personal making or of questionable origin? Because a man, through his egotism, proclaims himself a prophet, or even a messiah, that in no way militates against the truth though it should immediately discount his personal standing and integrity. [*underlining mine*]

"The truth is eternal and at times even fools may utter words of wisdom but men do not need to acclaim such a one as a wise man or condemn the truth because a fool by chance has given voice to it. Truth stands apart from the individual through whom it may be proclaimed. If the statements of supposedly wise men cannot stand the test of being separated from the one making them, their utterances will bear careful scrutiny.

"Let us not make the error of rejecting truth because there are those who misuse it, whose activities should bring them as individuals into disrepute."

I have in my personal library almost everything that Rand ever published. I rate Rand about 50% overall, but he is 100% right on the money with this excerpt from this publication! I have to marvel, as in 1947 I was only twenty years old and knew absolutely nothing about Christian Israel Identity (C.I.I.). Here, sixty-five years later, I find myself being a critic of his endeavor. In this case a confirming appraisal. I would point out that sixty-five years ago Howard B. Rand had the same identical position that I am presenting in this paper on Covenant Theology vs. personal salvation!

Rand also wrote a 15 page pamphlet entitled *The Glory of the Emerging Kingdom*. On pages 1 & 2 he stated, in part:

"THERE IS a pernicious endeavor, which is in widespread evidence today, to eliminate all expectations concerning the restoration of the Kingdom of God and its reestablishment upon the earth. Those who object to the fact of the coming earthly reign of Jesus Christ misuse, misquote and misapply the Scriptural pronouncements pertaining to His Kingdom, its universality and its perpetuity. There seems to be a concerted drive to this end, with laymen, ministers and evangelists cooperating in the

nefarious repudiation of the proclamation of the Kingdom Gospel which heralds the ultimate emergence of the restored Kingdom of God in righteousness upon the earth.

“Furthermore, there is an attempt to completely discount any possibility of the return of Jesus Christ to reign over such a Kingdom. While admitting that the Second Advent of our Lord is to be an actuality, it is denied that, upon His return, He will, as a descendant of His father David, occupy the Throne of David, reigning over an earthly Kingdom in accordance with the annunciation to His mother Mary:

“... the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father David: And he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end.’ (Luke 1: 32-33.)

“In the light of this announcement to Mary, vouched for by her Divinely-sent informer, the Angel Gabriel, it is preposterous to contend that, after the Lord’s triumphant return, there will be no Kingdom on earth over which He may reign. The Satanic endeavor, throughout the ages, has been to annihilate the people of the Kingdom so that the promised reign of Jesus Christ as King, over the House of Jacob, can never come to pass. The failure to attain this objective has spawned a second-choice Satanic attempt to spread abroad the lie that there is to be no actual Kingdom on earth and thereby thwart the proclamation of the Kingdom evangel, which includes the necessity for the Kingdom people to acknowledge their identity and fulfill the requirements for readiness to receive their coming King. The Psalmist stated:

“Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power ...’ (Ps. 110: 3.)

“In order for the Kingdom people to be willing in the day of the manifested power of the Lord, there must be an acceptance of the proven identity of the people originally constituting the House of Jacob and their modern representatives in the world today. Without this knowledge, no one is in a position to even approach a discussion of the subject of Jesus’ reign and His Kingdom.”

While Rand did quite well in the introduction to this pamphlet, I have to highly disagree where he wrote, “... its universality and its perpetuity ...” While the Kingdom will be “perpetual”, it absolutely will not be universal in any sense of the word! Now, if Rand meant universal only with the twelve tribes of Israel, it would be truly universal, as it is written that all Israel will be saved. But he didn’t make that as clear as he should have.

Immediately under the title, on page 1 of Rand’s pamphlet, he quoted from Psalm 102:15-16, but he rejected the *King James Version*, and after checking these two KJV verses, I could understand why. Rather, Rand used *The New English Bible* which reads:

“Then shall the nations revere thy name, O Lord, and all kings of the earth thy glory, when the Lord builds up Zion again and shows himself in his glory.”

I would amplify this passage thusly for a better understanding: **“Then shall the [Israel] nations revere [T]hy name, O [Yahweh], and all [the Israel] kings of the earth thy [G]lory, when [Yahweh] builds up [new] Zion and shows [H]imself in [H]is [G]lory.”**

As Abraham had faith and believed, so are we to do the same, Hebrews 11:6: **“But without faith *it is impossible to please him*: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and *that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him.*”**

Rather than being “born again” (John 3:3), we must be “born from above”. It was only Nicodemus who didn’t understand, as v. 10 so clearly substantiated. Churchianity has taken the same mistaken position as Nicodemus did! Rather, we as Israelites should reverberate the words of Hosea 2:7, “... **I will go and return to my first husband; for then was *it better with me than now.*”** That “husband” was no other than Yahweh, who came as Yahshua in the flesh! Being “born from above” is no other than being born of the Heavenly White Adamic race! The first part of Hosea 2:7 reads:

“And she [Israel] shall follow after her lovers, but she shall not overtake them; and she shall seek them, but shall not find ...” Thus it becomes incumbent on all Israelites to identify just who or what Israel’s other lovers were/are, and fully reverse course! To expound on all of which “her lovers” consist of would require quite an extensive study by itself, and goes beyond the scope of this paper. [End of *The Insane Doctrine of Personal Salvation vs. Covenant Theology*, #1 of 2.]

I will repeat for emphasis what I stated in WTL #187:

The only people Yahweh ever married were the White Adamic Israelites – the only people Yahweh ever divorced were the White Adamic Israelites – and the only people whom Yahweh (as Yahshua) will remarry are the White Adamic twelve tribes of Israel, **and absolutely no one else!** And those Israelites who have a percentage of Canaanite or other nonwhite blood in their woodpile, **WILL NOT** become part of the Bride of Christ; **for ONCE MIXED, ALWAYS MIXED!**

I will repeat for emphasis what I wrote and quoted in WTL #175:

In short, a “broken cistern” is a person of mixed-race. In 2012 America has a “broken cistern” for a president! What’s more, a “broken cistern” cannot be fixed, as it is the unforgivable sin! When a “broken cistern” is conceived, the White party contributes 23 beneficial chromosomes while the nonwhite party contributes 23 non-compatible, defective chromosomes. During the nine months gestation period, from conception until birth, these two incompatible pairs of 23 chromosomes unite to become 46 discordant chromosomes to begin the development process which becomes the organs and extremities of the baby’s body. And because of the confusion of the DNA, the final product is distorted and misshapen in many unusual ways, both mentally and physically. Should one pontificate that this condition could be remedied, consider the following: First of all, one must understand that every single cell making up the body of a person contains the original chromosomes contributed by the father and the mother! Inasmuch as the body of a man [or woman] has more than a million million (1,000,000,000,000) cells, if a medical procedure were developed to remove and replace the defective 23 chromosomes from each individual cell, it would require a million million (or 1,000,000,000,000) separate medical operations! This would take a multitude of lifetimes on the operating table to complete! And where would they find a chromosome bank to obtain the genetically pure chromosomes to replace the defective ones? Is it any wonder that Yahweh is so strict on maintaining racial purity? It appears we White

Israelites should take this “**blood touching blood**” thing very seriously! Hosea 4:1-2 states:

“¹ **Hear the word of Yahweh, ye children of Israel: for Yahweh hath a controversy with the inhabitants of the land, because *there is no truth, nor mercy, nor knowledge of God in the land.* ² **By swearing, and lying, and killing, and stealing, and committing adultery, they break out, and blood toucheth blood.**”**

When reading the KJV at Hos, 4:2, see margin at v.2, which states, “Heb. *bloods.*” So when we read at Hosea 4:2, “... **committing adultery, they break out, and blood[s] toucheth blood[s]**, we can comprehend that there were some hanky-panky, illicit sexual encounters going on among some of the members of the tribe of Judah with unclean, nonwhite aliens! As long as it was intercourse with one’s own Adam-kind, “blood” would be in the singular, but Adam-kind with an alien, it would be in the plural sense.

To demonstrate just how grievous this matter is, I will quote from a very excellent 241-page book entitled, *Racial Hybridity*, by Philip Jones B.A., pages 38-41, under the subtitle, “Once Mixed, Always mixed”. Before I make this citation, I would explain that Philip Jones is a brother to Stephen E. Jones, whom I have had to criticize greatly. But I would advise the reader that, although Philip and Stephen are brothers, their belief systems are 180° opposed to each other! Stephen Jones has a daughter of color (and since I served in the Navy in 1945-1946 in the Philippines, I am familiar with Filipino appearance). Therefore I believe Jones’ daughter of color is a Filipino. From my observation, Stephen teaches the false doctrine of “universalism”, while Philip is strongly non-universal, and highly promotes purity of race.

“ONCE MIXED, ALWAYS MIXED

“When the races mix, genes become hopelessly intertwined and nothing can ever be done to unscramble the mixture. No amount of breeding will breed back a mongrel into a pure-bred. It has been said that once the chromosome is halved, it is lost irretrievably.

“David Jordan says: ‘Two individuals of diverse race differ in a very great number of genes: In crosses the genes of the two races become inextricably intermingled in many different combinations. Consequently the different characteristics of the two races likewise become inextricably combined. After a cross, there is *no chance of recovering either pure race in later generations*.’” (emphasis added by Philip)

I would disagree here with both Philip Jones and David Jordan on one very important point where Jordan writes: “... there is *no chance of recovering either pure race in later generations*”. I would point out to the reader that the White Adamic race is the only pure race that exists (and the only race that Yahweh ever created), and there is no such thing as a “pure black race” or “a pure yellow race” or pure any other nonwhite! Yes, David and Philip are correct that there can never be a recovery of purity for the White Adamic race once miscegenation has occurred. All nonwhites are impure inasmuch as they are a mixture of fallen angels mixed with animal-kinds!

WILL TRUE ISRAEL EVER LEARN THESE THINGS?

Isaiah 29:10-14 predicts why the true White Caucasian twelve tribes of Israel became blind during their divorce, stating:

“¹⁰ For Yahweh hath poured out upon you the spirit of deep sleep, and hath closed your eyes: the prophets and your rulers, the seers hath he covered. ¹¹ And the vision of all is become unto you as the words of a book that is sealed, which *men* deliver to one that is learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I cannot; for it *is* sealed: ¹² And the book is delivered to him that is not learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee: and he saith, I am not learned. ¹³ Wherefore Yahweh said, Forasmuch as this people draw near *me* with their mouth, and with their lips do honour me, but have removed their heart far from me, and their fear toward me is taught by the precept of men: ¹⁴ Therefore, behold, I will proceed to do a marvellous work among this people, *even* a marvellous work and a wonder: for the wisdom of their wise *men* shall perish, and the understanding of their prudent *men* shall be hid.”

For the reconciliation when this blindness will be lifted from off the twelve tribes of Israel, Ezekiel 39:22-25 states:

“²² So the house of Israel shall know that I am Yahweh their Elohim from that day and forward. ²³ And the heathen¹⁴⁷¹ shall know³⁰⁴⁵ that the house of Israel went into captivity for their iniquity: because they trespassed against me, therefore hid I my face from them, and gave them into the hand of their enemies: so fell they all by the sword. ²⁴ According to their uncleanness and according to their transgressions have I done unto them, and hid my face from them. ²⁵ Therefore thus saith Yahweh Elohim; Now will I bring again the captivity of Jacob, and have mercy upon the whole house of Israel, and will be jealous for my holy name”

Our complete reconciliation has yet to be fulfilled, and is a prophecy yet to happen. Yahweh speed the day!