

IT IS BIBLICAL TO BE CAUCASIAN, Song. chs. 4-7, (# 1):

Clifton A. Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Website: emahiser.christogenea.org

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

We will start this essay by quoting Brenton's *Septuagint* at Song of Solomon 5:9-12:

“⁹ What is thy kinsman *more than another* kinsman, O thou beautiful among women? what is thy kinsman *more than another* kinsman, that thou hast so charged us? ¹⁰ My kinsman is white and ruddy, chosen out from myriads. ¹¹ His head is as very fine gold, his locks are flowing, black as a raven. ¹² His eyes are as doves, by the pools of [blue] waters, washed with [white] milk, sitting by the pools.” [brackets mine]

The KJV renders this same passage thusly:

“⁹ What is thy beloved [kinsman] more than *another* beloved [kinsman], O thou fairest³³⁰³ among women? what is thy beloved [kinsman] more than *another* beloved [kinsman], that thou dost so charge us? ¹⁰ My beloved [kinsman] is white and ruddy¹²², the chiefest among ten thousand. ¹¹ His head is as the most fine [white] gold, his locks are bushy, and black as a raven. ¹² His eyes are as the eyes of doves by the [blue] rivers of waters, washed with [white] milk, and fitly set.” [brackets mine]

The Hebrew word for “ruddy” here is Strong’s #122: 'adom {aw-dome'} Meaning: 1) red, ruddy (of man, horse, heifer, garment, water, lentils). Origin: from 119: ... to show blood (in the face), i.e., flush or turn rosy”

Benjamin Franklin must have been familiar with this passage, for he made a similar statement in his ***Observations Concerning the Increase of Mankind, Peopling of Countries, etc.***, part 24:

“24. Which leads me to add one Remark: That the Number of purely white People in the World is proportionably very small. All Africa is black or tawny. Asia chiefly tawny. America (exclusive of the new Comers) wholly so. And in Europe, the Spaniards, Italians, French, Russians and Swedes, are generally of what we call a swarthy Complexion; as are the Germans also, the Saxons only excepted, who with the English, make the principal Body of White People on the Face of the Earth. I could wish their Numbers were increased. And while we are, as I may call it, Scouring our Planet, by clearing America of Woods, and so making this Side of our Globe reflect a brighter Light to the Eyes of Inhabitants in Mars or Venus, why should we in the Sight of Superior Beings, darken its People? why increase the Sons of Africa, by Planting them

in America, where we have so fair an Opportunity, by excluding all Blacks and Tawneys, of increasing the lovely White and Red? But perhaps I am partial to the Complexion of my Country, for such Kind of Partiality is natural to Mankind.”

We must take note that where Franklin writes, “And in Europe, the Spaniards, Italians, French, Russians and Swedes, are generally of what we call a swarthy Complexion ...”, the Spaniards, Italians, French and Russians are well known for having swarthy complexioned people of mixed race. However, Sweden had their share of swarthy complexioned people also. The 1894, 9th ed. of the *Ency. Britannica*, vol. XXII, p. 774 it states: “Sweden is divided into three chief parts – the southern being called Götaland, the middle part Svealand or Sweden proper, and the northern, Norrland. The north and northwest parts of Norrland are called Lapland.” The 1951 *World Scope Ency.*, vol. VI, under the topic “Lapland”, says in part: “The Lapps are classed as Turanians, belonging to the Finnic branch. They are small in stature, have a flat nose, high cheek bones, and a scanty beard. Most of these people are muscular and have red hair” This description surely represents a people of mixed race! I would surmise a mixture of mongolian and Scandinavian. So Franklin is not out of order in his appraisal.

And when Franklin stated: “... in America, where we have so fair an Opportunity, by excluding all Blacks and Tawneys, of increasing the lovely White and Red”, it is evident he was familiar with Song of Solomon 5:9-12. Here, by mentioning: “... the lovely White and Red ...”, Franklin simply meant beautiful White people with rosy cheeks, like the *Song Of Solomon*, chapters 4 & 5 describe! It should be pointed out here that Benjamin Franklin was one of the leading framers of our United States Constitution, so this sheds light on their intent!

Anyone who has ever been trained for journalism or for writing legal contracts know that one must include the “who”, “what”, “when”, “where”, “why” and “how”. In the United States Constitution, the “who” is found in the Preamble thusly:

“We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty **to ourselves and our Posterity**, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”

Inasmuch as there were twenty-five men who signed the United States Constitution into Law, all of whom were White-Caucasian-European-Americans, there should be no dispute who “**to ourselves and our Posterity**” are! Posterity simply means: “succeeding generations” of the same race; Synonyms: “offspring”, “brood”, “children”, “descendants”, “issue”, “progeny”, “scions”, “seed”; or “come down from a stock or source”. “Stock” = “original from which others derive”. “Source” = “point of origin”. “Offspring” = “one coming into being through animal or plant reproduction”. “Issue” = to “descend from a specified ancestor”. As a matter-of-fact, it is impossible to qualify as “posterity” without being from the same racial family! And the “Posterity” of the Preamble to the United States Constitution, in the pronoun “ourselves”, is “White-Caucasian-European-American.” Sadly, some of the language that has been added to

the United States Constitution, by way of Amendments conflicts with the Preamble at the phrase **“to ourselves and our Posterity”!**

When our country was young, we had a lot of trouble with the Indians, but did we make them citizens? No, we made treaties with them. But even there we were violating Yahweh’s law at Exodus 23:32-33, which states:

“³² Thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor with their gods. ³³ They shall not dwell in thy land, lest they make thee sin against me: for if thou serve their gods, it will surely be a snare unto thee.”

Also, again at Exodus 34:12-16:

“¹² Take heed to thyself, lest thou make a covenant with the inhabitants of the land whither thou goest, lest it be for a snare in the midst of thee: ¹³ But ye shall destroy their altars, break their images, and cut down their groves: ¹⁴ For thou shalt worship no other elohim: for Yahweh, whose name *is* Jealous, *is* a jealous elohim: ¹⁵ Lest thou make a covenant with the inhabitants of the land, and they go a whoring after their elohim, and do sacrifice unto their elohim, and *one* call thee, and thou eat of his sacrifice; ¹⁶ And thou take of their daughters unto thy sons, and their daughters go a whoring after their elohim, and make thy sons go a whoring after their elohim.” Question: Does this also prohibit us from smoking a peace-pipe with an Indian? Answer: Yes it does! Neither the Indians, nor any of the other nonwhite races – or mixtures thereof – qualify under the phrase **“to ourselves and our Posterity”!** **But it is both Biblical and Constitutional to be a White Caucasian citizen!**

Songs 7:3-4 further describe the complexion of the Adamic-Israelites at the time of Solomon:

“³ Thy two breasts *are* like two young roes *that are* twins. ⁴ Thy neck *is* as a tower of ivory; thine eyes *like* the [blue] fishpools in Heshbon” [brackets mine]

The significance of “two young roes” is interesting, as *Webster’s Unified Encyclopedia and Dictionary* gives the following definition, vol. 11, under the subject of “roe”: **“roe (rō) n. 1 A small deer native to Europe and Asia; the female of the red deer”** Here, again, we are faced with the idea of a White blushing female.

Next, as for “thy neck *is* as a tower of ivory”, it is alluding to the complexion of the neck as being similar to the color of her teeth. It should also be pointed out that the White Caucasian woman has a mark of beauty emanating from her neck. On the other hand, the male Caucasian does not have this mark of beauty because his Adam’s-apple interferes with its being revealed. This mark of beauty possessed by the Caucasian female is in conjunction with where the left and right collar bones intersect with the left and right Sterno Cleido Mastoideus muscles in the neck. It actually appears like two supporting bars holding up the head, and the following is a rough outline of how they appear:



The Sterno Cleido Mastoideus muscles attach just behind the ears, and each drops down to intersect with its corresponding collar bone. In moving the head back and forth, one of the two Sterno Cleido Mastoideus muscles will project outward while

simultaneously increasing its vertical angle from about 11 to 0°. When choosing what clothing to wear, the Caucasian lady should pick out something which reveals this mark of beauty! The neck and head really do appear "... as a tower of ivory ..."

Excerpts from Song of Solomon, chapter 4, read:

"Behold, thou *art* fair, my love; behold thou *art* fair; thou hast doves' eyes within thy locks ... Thy lips are like a thread of scarlet⁸¹⁴⁴, and thy speech comely ... Thy neck is like the tower of David ... Thy two breasts are like two young roes that are twins, which feed among the lilies ... Thou *art* all fair, my love; *there is* no spot in thee ... Thou hast ravished my heart, my sister, *my* spouse ... How fair is thy love, my sister, *my* spouse! how much better is thy love than wine! ... Thy lips, O *my* spouse, drop as the honeycomb: honey and milk are under thy tongue"

As for the word *crimson* above: "**8144 ... shânîy ... shaw-nee'**; ... *crimson*, properly the insect or its color, also stuff dyed with it" Again, we are confronted with a shade of red! In addition, Strong's #H1818 is Hebrew for "blood", and Strong states "compare #H119", so "blood" is encapsulated in Adam's name, and Yahshua Christ came in Adam's flesh, so to race-mix is to blaspheme Christ!

WHAT IF ONE'S SPOUSE IS NOT CAUCASIAN?

Hosea 5:7 says: **"They have dealt treacherously against Yahweh: for they have begotten strange children ..."** Here the implications of this, which still affect us to this day, shall be examined. It should be obvious that, in the case of "hath eaten upon the mountains" [a phrase from Ezek. ch. 18], the sins of the father or mother (eating sour grapes) may affect the following generations forever in the case of race-mixing!

Race-mixing is described by Jeremiah at 2:13 as: **"For my people have committed two evils; they have forsaken me the fountain of living waters, and hewed them out cisterns, broken cisterns, that can hold no water."** And then Jeremiah gives a case-in-point in the same chapter, at vv. 21-22 thusly: **"²¹ Yet I had planted thee [Judah] a noble [racially pure] vine, wholly a right seed: how then art thou turned into the degenerate [race-mixed] plant of a strange vine unto me? ²² For though thou wash thee with nitre, and take thee much soap, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith Yahweh singular-Elohim."** What we have here is a situation where neither of the terms "sour grapes" nor "broken cisterns" can be taken literally.

This is why the Brenton's LXX translates Proverbs 5:15-20 thusly: **"¹⁵ Drink waters out of thine own vessels, and out of thine own springing wells. ¹⁶ Let not waters out of thy fountain be spilt by thee, but let thy waters go into thy streets. ¹⁷ Let them be only thine own, and let no stranger partake with thee. ¹⁸ Let thy fountain of water be *truly* thine own; and rejoice with the wife of thy youth. ¹⁹ Let *thy* loving hart and thy graceful colt company with thee, and let her be considered thine own, and be with thee at all times; for ravished with her love thou shalt be greatly increased. ²⁰ Be not intimate with a strange woman, neither fold thyself in the arms of a woman not thine own."**

The KJV renders this same passage: **“¹⁵ Drink waters out of thine own cistern, and running waters out of thine own well. ¹⁶ Let thy fountains be dispersed abroad, *and* rivers of waters in the streets. ¹⁷ Let them be only thine own, and not strangers’ with thee. ¹⁸ Let thy fountain be blessed: and rejoice with the wife of thy youth. ¹⁹ *Let her be as the loving hind and pleasant roe; let her breasts satisfy thee at all times; and be thou ravished always with her love.* ²⁰ And why wilt thou, my son, be ravished with a strange woman, and embrace the bosom of a stranger?”**

My opinion is that Jeremiah 31:27-29 is speaking of a future time when the house of Israel and the house of Judah will no longer be able to blame their own misfortune on the sins of their forefathers, but must become accountable for their own sins. Since the 1960’s the true houses of Israel and Judah have been literally, on an unprecedented scale, mixing their Holy Seed with the seed of nonwhite beasts. The sins that the house of Israel and the house of Judah are committing today would make their forefathers blush in comparison! Yes, our fathers did some very evil things and deserved to be punished, but the sins (sour grapes) of their children today are reprehensible:

“²⁷ Behold, the days come, saith Yahweh, that I will sow the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man¹²⁰, and with the seed of beast⁴⁹²⁹. ²⁸ And it shall come to pass, *that* like as I have watched over them, to pluck up, and to break down, and to throw down, and to destroy, and to afflict; so will I watch over them, to build, and to plant, saith Yahweh. ²⁹ In those days they shall say no more, The fathers have eaten a sour grape, and the children’s teeth are set on edge.”

THE CASE OF THE UNRIGHTEOUS, UNLAWFUL BED

Wisdom of Solomon (*Apocrypha*) 3:15-19; 4:3-6:

“¹⁵ For glorious is the fruit of good labours: and the root of wisdom shall never fall away. ¹⁶ As for the children of adulterers, they shall not come to their perfection, and the seed of an unrighteous bed shall be rooted out. ¹⁷ For though they live long, yet shall they be nothing regarded: and their last age shall be without honour. ¹⁸ Or, if they die quickly, they have no hope, neither comfort on the day of trial. ¹⁹ For horrible is the end of the unrighteous generation.”

“³ But the multiplying brood of the [*nonwhite*] ungodly shall not thrive, nor take deep rooting from the bastard [*mixed-race*] slips, nor lay any fast foundation. ⁴ For though they flourish in branches for a time; yet standing not fast, they shall be shaken with the wind, and through the force of winds they shall be rooted out. ⁵ The imperfect branches shall be broken off, their fruit unprofitable, not ripe to eat, yea, meet for nothing. ⁶ For children begotten of unlawful beds are witnesses of wickedness against their parents in their trial ...”

WHAT IF ONE OF THE PARTNERS IS NOT WHITE?

From *The Lost Books of the Bible and The Forgotten Books of Eden*, 4th Book of Maccabees, ch, 8, pp. 196-197, vv. 8, 21-23 [see 4 Macc. chs. 17-18 in Brenton’s *Sept.*]:

“8 And indeed it were fitting to inscribe these words over their resting-place, speaking for a memorial to future generations of our people:

“‘HERE LIE AN AGED PRIEST AND A WOMAN FULL OF YEARS AND HER SEVEN SONS THROUGH THE VIOLENCE OF A TYRANT DESIRING TO DESTROY THE HEBREW NATION THEY VINDICATED THE RIGHTS OF OUR PEOPLE LOOKING UNTO GOD AND ENDURING THE TORMENTS EVEN UNTO DEATH’

“²¹ Now these are the words that the mother of the seven sons, the righteous woman, spake to her children: ²² ‘I was a pure maiden, and I strayed not from my father’s house, and I kept guard over the rib that was builded into Eve. ²³ No seducer of the desert, no deceiver in the field, corrupted me; nor did the false, beguiling Serpent sully [*soil, stain, tarnish, disgrace, defile*] the purity of my maidenhood; I lived with my husband all the days of my youth; but when these my sons were grown up, their father died’”

This passage represents the Christian ideal for an all White family, even if it brings persecution, or even death, as it did for the above racially pure family!

DIVERS SEED DEFILES FAMILIES, Deut. 22:9; Lev. 19:19: We are about to address the Bible’s most serious offense. Everywhere in the Old Testament the Hebrew word for “seed”, “sperm” or “descendant” (*i.e.*, “offspring”) is in Strong’s *Hebrew Dictionary* #2233 “zera”, except for Lev. 19:19 and Deut. 22:9, where the Strong’s #3610 kil’ayim is used for “seeds”, “diverse kind”, “mingled seed” and “mingled”; (once for “seeds” at Deut. 22:9, and three times as “diverse kind”, “mingled seed” and “mingled” at Lev. 19:19) in the KJV. These two passages, with their four occurrences, are an exception because a different word (kil’ayim) is used.

I will now quote these two verses from e-Sword, with words for Strong’s #3610 underlined, along with each of the four King James Version renderings:

Deut. 22:9: **“Thou shalt not³⁸⁰⁸ sow²²³² thy vineyard³⁷⁵⁴ with divers seeds³⁶¹⁰: lest⁶⁴³⁵ the fruit⁴³⁹⁵ of thy seed²²³³ which⁸³⁴ thou hast sown,²²³² and the fruit⁸³⁹³ of thy vineyard³⁷⁵⁴, be defiled⁶⁹⁴².”**

Lev. 19:19: **“Ye shall keep⁸¹⁰⁴⁺⁸⁵³ my statutes²⁷⁰⁸. Thou shalt not³⁸⁰⁸ let thy cattle⁹²⁹ engender⁷²⁵⁰ with a diverse kind³⁶¹⁰: thou shalt not³⁸⁰⁸ sow²²³² thy field⁷⁷⁰⁴ with mingled seed³⁶¹⁰: neither³⁸⁰⁸ shall a garment⁸⁹⁹ mingled³⁶¹⁰ of linen and woolen⁸¹⁶² come⁵⁹²⁷ upon⁵⁹²¹ thee.”**

I will repeat again that these four occurrences are the only places where Strong’s Hebrew #3610 appears, and from the KJV it is rendered once as “seeds” at Deut. 22:9, and three times as “diverse kind”, “mingled seed” and “mingled” at Lev. 19:19. But, the KJV cannot always be trusted, so we will turn to the lexicons for a better understanding of the older languages (in this case Hebrew, Arabic & Ethiopic). Sometimes, when the lexicographers can’t find a root word in Hebrew, they will often turn to the Arabic because of the similarity of the two languages.

Strong’s *Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary*:

“3610. ... kil’ayim, kil-ah’-yim; dual of 3608 in the original sense of *separation; two heterogeneties*:— divers seeds (-e kinds), mingled (seed).”

“3608. ... kele’, keh’leh; from 3607; a *prison*:— prison. Compare 3610, 3628.”

The Gesenius’ *Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon OT*:

“3610. ... dual. *two things of diverse kinds, heterogeneous things*, properly two separations, two separated, i.e., diverse things (Arabic

كَلَا، كَلَاي

both, see De Sacy, *Grammar Arabe* ii. page 122; and Juhari, as quoted by him on Haririi Cons. page 87; Æthiopic

ክላ፣

two, of a twofold kind). Lev. 19:19; Deut. 22:9.”

Yes, as stated in the title of this paper, *It is Biblical to be Caucasian*, but it is highly un-Biblical to be genetically “mixed” or “mingled”, or any kind of non-Caucasian! And if a pure Caucasian finds oneself in a mixed relationship with a non-Caucasian, the Bible requires that relationship be dissolved, and any children by that union disinherited! This is serious business, so read Ezra and Nehemiah! Or just do nothing, and weep. Rather, it’s about time that we start taking pride in our racial heritage!