

RON WYATT, HONEST?, OR DECEITFUL FRAUD? #3

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

If you haven't as yet read part #'s 1 and 2 on this subject, you need to get copies, as this is becoming quite a detective story. A supposed archaeologist by the name of Ron Wyatt claims to have found the Ark of the Covenant with Christ's blood upon the Mercy Seat of it. But like all less-than-honest manipulators, he fails miserably to keep his story straight. This is especially true when one is attempting to run a pretzel factory with Yahweh's Word! Wyatt's contrived story is that while he was going about doing all of this, four angels came to his aid.

Much of this was discussed on an Internet program Talkshoe hosted by Eli James, who has turned out to be quite an avid proponent of Wyatt's story. On the May 31st, 2009 program, Eli interviewed a man by the name of Jonathan Gray, who claims he joined Wyatt in his endeavor. One of the listeners by the name of Skip Baker made the following comment:

"I just wanted to mention, in the other video tape, if I recall correctly, Ron claimed he videotaped the Ark and had got good pictures of it finally. He goes back to his hotel and got to thinking 'Well what should I do with this?' Then he said, of all things, he would go back and ask the four angels that he had met in the cave. So he goes back and asks them, 'What should I do with this video tape?' They said, 'We'll take it, because God wants it to come out when the mark of the beast happens.' And he said he gave it to them, and they took it with them, although they didn't demand it when he came and asked 'what shall I do with this?' They said, 'We'll take it.' That was a little tidbit I picked up by watching the movie part." I have absolutely no question that Baker told the truth about Wyatt here, as I have other witnesses to Wyatt's absurd "angel story".

But if these four supposed angels had been from the Almighty Yahweh, they would never have said, "We'll take it (the videotape), because God wants it to come out when the mark of the beast happens." This is not something in the future, but the past! For my documentation, I will go to the book *Daniel And The Revelation* by Uriah Smith, pages 701-703 ©1897. Uriah was better than the average student on prophecy, but blind to Identity, and was a Seventh Day Adventist:

"The most plausible name we have ever seen suggested as containing the number of the beast, is the title which the pope applies to himself, and allows others to apply to him. That title is this: *Vicarius Filii Dei*, 'Vicegerent of the Son of God.' Taking

the letters out of this title which the Latins used as numerals, and giving them their numerical value, we have just 666. Thus we have V, = 5; I, = 1; C, = 100 (*a* and *r* not used as numerals); I, = 1; U (formerly the same as V), = 5 (*s* and *f* not used as numerals); I, = 1; L, = 50; I, = 1; I, = 1; D, = 500 (*e* not used as a numeral); I, = 1. Adding these numbers together, we have just 666.



“This title has been placed upon the pope’s crown. While the Vatican authorities have of late years [1897] shown a disposition not to make this title conspicuous, there is good evidence that it was formerly so used, and that at least one of the papal crowns bears this inscription. The following testimony on this point is given by the late Elder D.E. Scoles, of Washburn, Mo:

“I have met two men who declare that they have seen this specific crown; and their testimony is so perfectly in agreement that I am convinced that what they say is true. The first man was M. De Latti, a Sabbath-keeper who had previously been a

Catholic priest, and had spent four years in Rome. He visited me when I was pastor in St. Paul, Minn., several years ago. I showed him my tract, 'The Seal of God and the Mark of the Beast.' He at once told me that the inscription was not correctly placed in my illustration. He stated that he had often seen it in the museum at the Vatican, and gave a detailed and accurate description of the whole crown. When my tract was published, I was ignorant of the arrangement of the words of the Latin inscription, hence, in the illustration of the crown, placed them in one line. Brother De Latti at once pointed out the mistake, and said the first word of the sentence was on the first *[top]* crown of the triple arrangement, the second word on the second *[middle]* part of the crown, while the word *Dei* was on the lower *[bottom]* division of the triple crown. He also explained that the first two words were in dark-colored jewels, while the *Dei* was composed of diamonds entirely.

"During a tent-meeting which I held in Webb City, Mo., I presented the subject, 'The Seal of God and the Mark of the Beast.' I used charts to illustrate it, one being a reproduction of the crown as Brother De Latti had described it. A Presbyterian minister was present, Rev. B. Hoffman, and when I described the crown, he spoke out publicly and made a statement to the congregation, saying that while in Rome studying for the priesthood, he had seen this very crown, and noted its inscription, and that the word *Dei* was composed of one hundred diamonds. I met him and learned his name, and visited him at his home, and was convinced from his description that this was the identical crown that Brother De Latti had seen, but which has been denied by many. I then asked him for a written statement, and he gave me the following:—

"*To Whom It May Concern:* This is to certify that I was born in Bavaria in 1828, was educated in Munich, and was reared a Roman Catholic. In 1844 and 1845 I was a student for the priesthood in the Jesuit College in Rome. During the Easter service of 1845, Pope Gregory XVI wore a triple crown upon which was the inscription, in jewels, *Vicarius Filii Dei*. We were told that there were one hundred diamonds in the word *Dei*; the other words were of some other kind of precious stones of a darker color. There was one word upon each crown, and not all on the same line. I was present at the service, and saw the crown distinctly, and noted it carefully.

"In 1850 I was converted to God and to Protestantism. Two years later I entered the Evangelical Church ministry, but later in life I united with the Presbyterian Church; of which I am now a retired pastor, having been in the ministry for fifty years.

"I have made the above statement at the request of Elder D.E. Scoles, as he states that some deny that the pope ever wore this tiara. But I know that he did for I saw it upon his head.

"Sincerely yours in Christian service,
(Signed) "B. Hoffman
Webb City, Mo., Oct. 29, 1906'."

"The following extract is from a work entitled *The Reformation*, bearing the date of 1832:—

"Mrs. A.," said Miss Emmons, 'I saw a very curious fact the other day; I have dwelt upon it much, and will mention it. A person, lately, was witnessing a ceremony of

the Romish Church. As the pope passed him in procession, splendidly dressed in his pontifical robes, the gentleman's eye rested on these full, blazing letters in front of his miter: 'VICARIUS FILII DEI,' the Vicar of the Son of God. His thoughts, with the rapidity of lightning, reverted to Rev. 13:18. "Will you turn to it?" said Mrs. A. ... Alice opened the New Testament and read: 'Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six.' She paused, and Miss Emmons said, 'He took out his pencil, and marking the numerical letters of the inscription on his tablet, it stood, 666.'

"Here we have indeed the number of a man, even the 'man of sin;' and it is a little singular, perhaps providential, that he should select a title which shows the blasphemous character of the beast, and then cause it to be inscribed upon his miter, as if to brand himself with the number 666. The foregoing extract doubtless refers to a particular pope on a particular occasion. Other popes might not wear the title emblazoned on the miter, as there stated. But this does not affect the application at all; for 'the popes all assume to be the 'Vicar of Christ' (see *Standard Dictionary* under 'vicar'), and the Latin words given above are the words which express that title, in the form 'vicar of the Son of God;' and their numerical value is 666."

I will repeat here that Ron Wyatt made a serious blunder in his story, as no self-respecting angel would have put the "mark of the beast" in the future! This shows that Wyatt followed the teachings of Cyrus I. Scofield, who was a member of the Lotus Club (a branch of the Illuminati), and was bought and paid for by such Canaanite-jews as Samuel Gompers, Fiorello LaGuardia, Abraham Straus, Bernard Baruch, Jacob Schiff and Samuel Untermyer, each among the descendants of the Cain-satanic-seedline of Gen. 3:15!

For a second witness we find at the website:
<http://www.wyattnewsletters.com/ArkCov/search.htm>

"Yet in a description written 5/16/2000 regarding the same saga and time period on a rival 'Wyatt Discoveries' site, Anchorstone.com, Wyatt associate Bill Fry goes into elaborate detail about Wyatt's claims that he saw four angels in the chamber. He said that they 'cleaned up' the chamber and set out the Temple artifacts, that they removed the cover of the Ark exposing the Ten Commandment stones, that he was told by one of them to take out those stones, and that he discussed with that same angel a number of times how he should deal with the discovery." This seems strange, as Daniel only had one angel come to him! Is Ron Wyatt four times more important than the prophet Daniel? Or does he just think he is?

From <http://www.arkdiscovery.com/aocpt1.htm>

Ark of the Covenant Discovery, part 1 ... The Ark of the Covenant ... Written by Mary Nell Wyatt:

"The Ark of the Covenant and the other objects from the sanctuary are still where Ron found them, completely hidden and very well guarded by four angels. Will everything be shown publicly? We don't know. I know that Ron's greatest desire was to excavate the entire area, exposing the site of the crucifixion down to the chamber, but whether that will ever happen, we just don't know. I have learned that no matter how I

believe events will occur, they always happen differently than I imagined. Hopefully the Ark will be brought out. We don't know if it ever will – it is deep in the earth and getting it and the other objects out at this time seems almost impossible without some Divine assistance. Ron was of the belief that the things you have read about here will be made public soon due to other events which we have cause to believe are about to occur (which we won't mention now). However, if it doesn't happen that soon, we certainly won't give up – nor are we saying that it will be that soon – only that we believe there is a strong likelihood. We do ask that you pray for this discovery of the ark because at this moment there are certain people, led by Satan, who are attempting to bury it even deeper in the earth than it already is. After all, what could Satan fear more than the evidence which lies buried there?"

Eli James states the following in his interview with Jonathan Gray: "Here let me just quote Hosea 6:2. It says, "After two days I will revive my people Israel, in the third day they will be revived." So actually 1982 would have been short of two millennia, because I think it's 2nd Peter that says a thousand years for man is one day for Yahweh. Okay. So Jesus was born (in my opinion anyway), September 29th, 1 B.C. Okay. And that would make Him 33½ years old when he was crucified on April 3rd, 33 A.D. Okay. And then the prophecy in Hosea says, "on the third day, I will revive my people." So 1982 would have been too soon. So two millennia later we find out about it. ... So in order to fulfill the prophecy the Israelis are playing into the hands of Yahweh by covering this [*Ron Wyatt story*] up all of this time. Because here we are in the third day, and Jesus said that those days shall be shortened, lest no flesh be saved. Okay. So we want Jesus to come back soon rather than later in this third day. We are definitely in the third day. There's no doubt about it."

Eli James, in an earlier remark on this Talkshoe program, had quoted Ken Gregg's expression "Johnny one-liner". Well, Eli pulled off one of his own one-liners by quoting only Hosea 6:2 without including verse one, and misquoting it at that. By doing this, Eli changed the word "torn" of verse one to "born". The subject of verse one is that Yahweh had "torn" Israel, and in verse two the subject is that, after being torn, Yahweh would revive us (Israel) from our being "torn" and "bind" (heal) us once more to life. This passage has nothing to do with the date that Christ was "born"! Nor can the starting date of the "three days" be linked to Christ's birth. The following is what I wrote in *Watchman's Teaching Letter #48* for April, 2002: [*Beginning of quote.*]

This idea of a future millennium is built around a nonbiblical theory called "dispensationalism." It presupposes that after each one thousand years since Adam, the Almighty scrapped His plans and started all over again. This is somehow conjectured to represent a week in God's calendar. It is hypothesized that the future millennium will represent a Sabbath of rest for the Almighty when He will retire after such a disastrous failure in His program. The only problem is, if we go by the chronological dates of the Septuagint, we are now approximately 7,500 years after Adam. If these dates are correct, it puts us 500 years beyond that so-called millennium period. One of the Scriptures used to reinforce a future millennium is Hosea 6:1-2 which says:

“¹ Come and let us return unto Yahweh: for he hath torn, and he will heal us; he hath smitten, and he will bind us up. ² After two days will he revive us: in the third day he will raise us up, and we shall live in his sight.”

Interestingly, future millennium proponents assign each day as 1000 years, with which I agree. They theorize the “smiting” as the Crucifixion, placing the millennium about 2037 A.D. Rather than speaking of the Crucifixion, this passage addresses three campaigns against Israel and Judah (except for Jerusalem), covering a period from 745 to 681 B.C. Two thousand years from this period would be approximately 1255 to 1319 A.D. This passage indicates only “after two days” and the raising up would be in the third day. That brings us up to the date of the great Reformation about 1375 to 1425 A.D.

It appears the Norse, in their body of epic literature, had a better handle on this thing than we have now. The amillennialist position (that the millennium is already past) is the only position that makes any sense, for Satan has been loosed from his ghetto and we are now in the era of his great deception. If you don't believe it, just try telling someone today's “Jews” are not God's chosen people! You can't get any more deceived than that! Do you think the Almighty, after straightening us out on that one, is going to allow us to fall in that ditch again? If you believe in a future millennium, then you do! To believe in a future one thousand year millennium is at variance with 2 Thes. 2:8, which states unequivocally that Satan will be destroyed at Yahshua's *second* coming. If “that Wicked” is going to be destroyed at that point, how is Satan going to raise his head again a second time? That verse reads:

“And then shall that Wicked be revealed whom Yahshua shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming.”
[End of quote,]

From <http://www.isitso.org/guide/wyatt.html> we read:

“In addition, he claimed in relation to his alleged discovery of the Ark of the Covenant and the Ten Commandment stones that the stones would be ‘brought out’ for the world to see in fulfillment of ‘end time prophecy’ as it is taught by the Seventh Day Adventist denomination. When not directed to a strictly SDA audience, this prophetic scenario was couched by Wyatt (and now by his successors) in quite general terms, which might be accepted by many non-SDA Christians. The scenario indicates that some day in the very near future, the Anti-Christ and his system will take over rule of the world. He will impose the ‘Mark of the Beast’ on everyone, except those brave Saints who refuse to accept that mark and are willing to be martyred instead. And at that point the Ten Commandment stones will be brought out as a witness to the world of the True Religion.”

This is further evidence that Ron Wyatt was following the satanic Zionist's agenda of the Kenite-Edomite-Jews. This is the last thing we need in Israel Identity! And Eli James is unlocking our back door and letting them in! I hope he wakes up to his error!

Wyatt associate Bill Fry had also written: “He [the angel in the chamber] then walked back over to Ron and told him two things. The first was that if Ron remained

faithful, he would have a part in bringing out the tables of stone so that they might be put on display. The second was that the Ark was not to be revealed to the world or the tables of stone put on display until shortly after a law was passed that would attempt to enforce the mark of the beast upon people.”

From www.arkdiscovery.com we read:

“Shortly there will follow a death decree against all true Sabbath keepers and the time described as the time of Jacob’s trouble would commence. This ends in the deliverance of the saints who refuse to receive the mark of the beast by keeping Sunday. At that time we are told by Ellen White, all those since 1844 who died keeping the third angel’s message will be raised from their graves with their immortal bodies. This would of necessity be after the time of Jacob’s trouble and at the time of the deliverance of the saints from the death penalty connected with the Sunday law and the mark of the beast ...”

GARBAGE, GARBAGE, this is all GARBAGE! Jacob’s troubles were to last for “seven times”, or 2520 years, starting about 745 B.C.! Ron Wyatt is an **IDIOT!** Let’s spew this **Ron-foolery** out of Israel Identity!