NORD DAVIS RIGHT & WRONG ON "THE QUEEN OF THE SOUTH", #2

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries 1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830 Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571 E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

So the reader can understand my motive for writing this series of papers, it is absolutely necessary for one to have all of the issues so one will come to a proper conclusion of my purpose. While Nord did quite well in many areas of his teachings, there are a couple of places where he fell into error, which we are all capable of. With this series, I will address two places where he didn't perform his usual good scholarship: (1) he used the wrong Hebrew word to support the Biblical "beast of the field", and (2) he misidentified king Hussein of Jordan as being pureblooded, whereas the king was related to Mohammed whose mother was a bad-fig-jewess of the Cain satanic seedline.

In order to do a critical review of how he came to these erroneous conclusions, I will reproduce in this and future issues his three part essay entitled:

Desert Shield, "Jonah's Sign", part 1, by Nord Davis:

"An evil and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign; and there shall no sign be given to it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas ..."

-St. Matthew 12:39: Jesus Christ, our national, as well as our personal Saviour, was speaking here to His enemies, the Pharisees. As most Christians know, Scripture is full of signs, yet here is Jesus Christ saying to this evil race that for them there will be no more signs except for that specific one given by Jonah.

"Jesus Christ, who had just called these Pharisees the offspring of vipers, meaning they were literally of their father Satan, told them that there would be no more signs, even when it seems from the text that the Pharisees had asked Him nicely by calling him Master. I have heard a hundred sermons on this very text, with each preacher supposing and then preaching that Christ's answer was only a prediction that he would conquer the grave as Jonah had conquered death in the belly of the great fish. According to them, that was to be the sign for this race of vipers, that Christ would rise from the dead. Most are so ignorant as to think that the word *generation* means that time and place in history instead of offspring or race as the Greek clearly indicates. As you will see, the very understanding of *generation*, as used here, puts a whole new light on what Christ was telling in His dialogue to the deaf.

"Contrary to so much preaching today, Christ was not providing one last salvation message to the evil and adulterous race that would eventually have him executed without a cause. He never witnessed to these people, but rather to His People who always came around to listen and learn from the controversy that He usually generated. This is established in the next paragraph, verses 46-50.

"So Christ went on and repeated the story of two different entities that were to be a part of this 'Sign of Jonah'. Of all things, we see that Jonah's Sign was to involve some people known as the Men of Nineveh, and another entity identified as the Queen of the South. Isn't that strange? As they lived about 200 years apart, The Queen of Sheba, or the Queen of the South, could have never been involved with the Prophet Jonah, and yet she is mentioned along with the Men of Nineveh as being the very people who would one day rise in judgment against the offspring of the Wicked One, among whom were the Pharisees to whom Christ was talking.

"So, Jesus Christ is not giving these wicked Pharisees a quick, shallow lesson in personal salvation, but rather predicting a future national salvation in which the Queen of Sheba and the Men of Nineveh would play an active part! As a Sign of Jonah, these two would be seen rising in judgment against the Pharisee's descendants. Jesus Christ forecasts that their children in the latter days would be even worse than they themselves are. (v 45).

"Who was Jonah? You see, if you do not know who these Bible characters are, and where they came from, such predictions by Christ will be forever a mystery, and current events such as the Desert Storm War will be a riddle. In the midst of such confusion, it is easy for the media to deceive us regarding these events. Lost will be the only means of saving our Christian people from the hands of those that hate us. Jonah, as chance would have it, was born in Galilee, the same place among the tribe of Benjamin where all of Christ's Disciples were born. However, according to the *Davis Dictionary Of The Bible*, and other sources, it appears that Jonah belonged to one of the tribes of the Northern House of Israel because of the linguistic nature of the Book. We do know that Hosea was a contemporary of Jonah and that they were both listed as prophets to the Northern House of Israel, often called 'gentiles' in Scripture.

"Remember also, the Northern House of Israel, that is the so-called lost ten tribes, had been taken into the Assyrian captivity by the time of God's directive to Jonah. Nineveh was a city in Assyria, a great host of whom were Israelites, the people of His Promise.

"What you must keep in mind is the fact that the ancient national names and geographical boundaries were not as fixed as they are today. Ancient Nineveh was in Ancient Assyria, but that same city, located on the banks of the Tigris River, is in modern Iraq today. Yes, it had been originally built by the people of Babylon, but by the time of Jonah, Babylon's people had fled north through the mountains, along the trails now taken by the 'Kurds', and had taken up residence in Asia Minor, where they combined their wicked Babylonian Talmudic religion with what is now known as Judaism, a modern version of Phariseeism. Later, as Bible scholars have found out, Babylon's peoples, carrying their Talmudic Law, moved into what is now Russia and then later into Eastern Europe. These are the Ashkenaz Jews of today who claim,

among themselves, that their heritage is from Gomer and Japheth, not from Shem. However, publicly and to the Christian community, these Japhethites claim to have come from the loins of Shem and King David and are thus the inheritors of The Promises of God. Regrettably, the majority of Christians here in America have fallen for this deceptive lie, and have become state-of-mind Zionists because they do not know the Scriptures. Until this pamphlet, they have never even understood the Sign of the Prophet Jonah.

"The story of Jonah is one of the first simple stories told in elementary church school. It is straight forward in that God had sent His Word to Jonah to preach national repentance to the City of Nineveh. Instead, Jonah bought passage on a ship in Joppa headed west to Tarshish, the land we now know as Spain. Instead of going east, he headed west. Once at sea, however, Scripture records that God sent a great wind and the ship was in peril of being lost. Jonah recognized that he was the cause of this storm, and asked to be thrown overboard so as to spare the ship. I never understood why he didn't just jump without assistance, but the story relates that the sailors, after much deliberation, did throw Jonah into the sea and the wind suddenly stopped. The story then states that Jonah was rescued by a great fish in whose belly he managed to survive for three days until being vomited up on the shore. God then spoke to Jonah again saying that he had been directed to preach to the wicked city of Nineveh. This time Jonah got the message and agreed to go. He arrived in Nineveh in three days and his preaching accomplished what God intended, and the city repented. Jonah's message to the enemies of Israel's Southern Kingdom was to repent or be destroyed. When they repented and when the Word of God accomplished that wherein it was sent, Jonah was very angry because he did not think such people should have a second chance. He even got so depressed that he asked God to take his life. God then taught him a lesson with a gourd and a worm so that he understood why God would save this wicked people of the Northern House of Israel. [II Kings 14:25]

"From that simple story, I have in my library dozens of pages of commentary about Jonah's alleged motives for his disobedience and for his anger about the City of Nineveh being saved. Some write that his motive was 'misapplied nationalism' because he feared that Nineveh, if stayed from God's judgment, might be strong enough to attack his native land. Some teach that Jonah was a 'type of Christ' in that he was resurrected from the belly of the whale after 3 days and 3 nights as a parallel with Christ's stay in the grave. That, they say, is the Sign of Jonah. The problem with that idea is that Christ was executed without sin or disobedience, while Jonah went through his ordeal and did not die for his deliberate act of disobedience. Thus Jonah is not a 'type of Christ' as so many now teach. Nor would Christ get angry and depressed if some nation that God wanted to spare actually repented under the preaching of His Word.

"For years I have taught that the Sign of the Prophet Jonah would be when one of God's servants would be found preaching to God's People, 'Repent or be destroyed.' That was true as far as it went, but until my recent trip to the Middle East, I could not fit into that prophecy the statement of Christ regarding the Men of Nineveh and the Queen of the South. The Sign of the Prophet Jonah, **in principle**, would be any time one of the

Body of Christ rebuked the children of the Wicked One, ending with the threat, 'Repent or be destroyed.' However, the addition of the elements of the Men of Nineveh and the Queen of the South puts a specific place and approximate timing on this event as predicted by Christ Himself.

"There is another element of this historical account of Brother Jonah that has not been widely preached about. This is the specific calling of God upon a man to do a specific task, however difficult or distasteful. When Jonah decided not to follow God's directive, and headed off for sunny old Spain, The Lord did not throw up His Hands in dismay and seek out some other person who might be more willing to obey. It seems clear from the text that He went to a lot of trouble to see to it that the man He called was the man who went. This could also be a Sign of the Prophet Jonah, that if you have been called you had better go lest the tempests of life overtake you and you find yourself swimming in the gastric juices of some 'great fish' you never expected. Likewise, there always seem to be great Bible scholars, and men whose intentions toward strict obedience are obvious, that God, in His Sovereign Election, chooses to pass over and not use in His important assignments. If Jonah or the Apostle Peter, with their inordinate activities and remarks, can be men of The Called, as in Romans 8:28, there is hope for any of us, isn't there?

"Have you any idea how many times I have asked the Lord, 'But, why me?' He never tells me why, for there is so little to be found that would satisfy my logical reasoning. I am just like Jonah, so often heading off to the west when the Lord had said I should go east. While I never sought to depart from the presence of the Lord, I admit that I have frequently procrastinated in His presence, hoping that He would find another to do the assigned task. Have you ever found yourself in that situation? Then I have been like Jonah in that I have sought some quiet place, and just went to sleep and have slept through some mighty storms around me only to be awakened by some heathen asking that I pray about his problem when **his** prayers to **his** god did not accomplish anything, (Jonah 1:5-6).

"I remember ten years ago, when working with the Contras of Nicaragua, that I was frustrated as how to carry out what I knew the Lord wanted me to be doing because of certain talents and abilities that I had been given. Once, confronted by a U.S. federal agent, I held out my hands and demanded that he arrest me immediately if I was doing anything 'illegal.' You see, I wanted him to 'cast me into the sea' so I would not have to do certain things. Instead, with absolute terror in his eyes at the suggestion, he turned and walked away and never bothered me again. I was reminded of that incident reading Jonah 1:10. Does that mean that I am now immune from such federal attention? No, it only means that I have no fear of it.

"There are some Bible commentaries that insist that Jonah died in the whale's belly and was later resurrected as a 'type of Christ.' However, the Word says that he was praying the whole time, and making promises to God if He would save him and in the midst of one such prayer, God spoke to the fish and it vomited out Jonah onto dry land. So, Jonah did not drown in the belly of the whale, but was given sufficient time to get his thoughts in order. Have you not found that out of the worst adversity, God has a greater blessing for you? I have no problem believing the account of the fish being

spoken to by God and vomiting Jonah out on the beach. However, in Chapter 3:3, I read that Nineveh was a journey of three days. I estimate that Nineveh is at least 400 miles from any point on the coast of the Mediterranean Sea, and I have no idea how he made it that far in so short a time, but I still believe it.

"Another Sign of Jonah could have been the simplicity of his preaching. There are some who insist that since I do not hold a Doctorate in Theology, and have not the silver tongue of some favorite preacher of theirs, that I might not have anything valid to say. To them I would point out the mere eight word text of Jonah's sermon to Nineveh:

"'Yet forty days, and Nineveh shall be overthrown.'

"He began shouting that one line sermon from a day's journey out of Nineveh and right on down through the city and with that, the Bible says, the people believed God, proclaimed a fast, and put on sackcloth from the greatest down to the very least of them. Even the King of Nineveh took off his robes and put on sackcloth and sat in the ashes, all because of an eight word sermon from one of God's servants! So, there is hope for each one of us, isn't there? Notice that once God's prophet preaches the simple truth, God causes His People to hear and understand far more than was ever in the message! Then the King himself will set the standards for the nation. In this case he caused it to be proclaimed and published by King's decree that every person, beast, herd and flock be given nothing to eat or drink, as related in Chapter 3:7. Who but God's Chosen People ever fast and put on sackcloth and sit in ashes as a token of repentance?

"Notice one further command of the King of Nineveh in Chapter 3, verse 8: 'But let every man and <u>beast</u> be covered with sackcloth, and cry mightily unto God: yea, let them turn every one from his evil way, and from the violence that *is* in their hands.'

"Note here that there are men and beasts who have hands and are ordered to turn from their evil ways. Your Bible is drawing a distinction here between God's chosen people and other human beings found in Nineveh which Scripture calls 'Beasts', yet who have the ability to turn from violence and evil ways. Jesus called non-Israel people 'dogs', so both the Old and the New Testaments are in harmony regarding the calling, or lack of calling, of racially different peoples.

"Here is the lesson of Jonah; and I will show you how it applies to *Desert Storm* in part two. God calls a man and gives him specific instructions. That man preaches a simple message and God causes their King to understand and take official action that ultimately saves the nation. The simple obedient action of God's servant results in what God foreordained coming to pass. Could the King have thought about it on his own? Of course, but God often uses the humblest of men to teach a King, and then the King takes the action."

Nord Davis

My main objective for reproducing Nord's "Jonah's Sign", part 1 here, is to demonstrate some of his usual good scholarship, while at the same time showing where he fumbled the ball. As I stated in my *Identifying "The Beast Of The Field"*, part #6: "Like I said about Allen Campbell, I have no problem with Campbell's premise, but

the Hebrew words simply don't support his conclusions. Likewise with Nord Davis, and it should be noted that Campbell followed Nord Davis' references, and commented, in some cases, almost word for word!" In almost every case, both Nord and Allen used the Hebrew word "chay", Strong's #2416 for "beast", where the Hebrew word was actually #929, "behemah". How many others in Israel Identity, besides Allen Campbell, who have followed Nord's incorrect reasoning on this problem is unknown. This calls for some drastic setting things right. For instance, let's quote again Jonah 3:7-8 with the Strong numbers for "man", "beast" "herd" and "flock":

"⁷ And he caused *it* to be proclaimed and published through Nineveh by the decree of the king and his nobles, saying, Let neither man¹²⁰ nor beast⁹²⁹, herd¹²⁴¹ nor flock⁶⁶²⁹, taste any thing: let them not feed, nor drink water: ⁸ But let man¹²⁰ and beast⁹²⁹ be covered with sackcloth, and cry mightily unto God: yea, let them turn every one from his evil way, and the violence that *is* in their hands."

Nord had better pointed out that this passage separates "beast" from "herds" and "flocks" (and I didn't notice it myself until writing this paper); then the "beast" in this case cannot be domesticated cattle or wild animals, but an entity of a third kind, like a negroid or a mongolian! What is more important to notice is that the Hebrew word for "beast" here is NOT Strong's #2416, "chay", as Nord claims in his Star Wars, lesson #6, page 46, where he wrote in part: "... As we have stated in the Footlight, the best word for these Negro people would be Chay, Strong's Hebrew word #2416." Yet, Nord goes on in the next sentence to write, "However, a generic word for Beast, used in Exodus 19:11-13 and 23:10-11 are from the Hebrew word behemah, Strong's Hebrew word #929, and discuss Beasts which eat grapes and olives." The second definition for the term "generic" in my Franklin Language Master is, "not protected by a trademark", and we usually think of a "generic" as being "as good as" or "a replacement for" the trademarked brand! This is where I take issue with Nord, as "chay" IS NOT a generic for "behemah", NOR is "behemah" a generic for "chay". There are a few places where "chay" is translated as "beast", but usually in the context of "wild beasts" like a lion or a tiger, as being more lively than a domesticated beast.

In my 38 page *Study On The Hebrew Word,* #2416, I stated "If the Hebrew word 'chay' at Genesis 1:24 means the creation of pre-Adamic races, then Adam himself is pre-Adamic, as at Genesis 2:7 as Adam is recognized as a 'chay' creation twice."

I further wrote: "The other races never had that breath of life breathed into them as Adam did, so they're just the opposite of 'chay'. Actually, the non-Adamic races are the walking dead, or zombies! Not only that, but Christ Himself spoke of bad racial kinds at Matthew 13:47-50!"

Continuing, I said: "If the Hebrew word 'chay' at Genesis 1:24 means the creation of the nonwhite races, then Yahweh Himself is racially nonwhite, for He is a 'chay-God'." Check Strong's for yourself! Such an assertion is the height of blasphemy!

Davis goes astray again where he tries to state that the men of Nineveh whom Jonah preached to were Israelites. Jonah is mentioned at 2 Kings 14:25 when a prophecy he made before that time had come to be fulfilled. This informs us that the time of Jonah's prophecy was long before any Israelites were ever deported by the Assyrians (?) an event which was still several more decades in the future. The men of

Nineveh are of the Genesis 10 tribe of Asshur, fellow Shemites and cousins to the Hebrews. While they would not be included under the Abrahamic covenant, just like the Athenians which Paul preached to in Acts chapter 17, they were indeed under the several earlier covenants made with Adamic man. It is our utmost Christian duty to give credit where credit is due, and constructive criticism where criticism is due for the benefit of the brethren!