

# ***WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER***

Monthly Letter #148; August, 2010 By: Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser  
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830; Ph. (419)435-2836

Fax (419)435-7571; E-mail [caemahiser@sbcglobal.net](mailto:caemahiser@sbcglobal.net)

## **TO THOSE WHOM THE COVENANT BELONGS**

### **A NON-UNIVERSAL CULTURE AWARENESS INSTRUCTIONAL PUBLICATION**

This is a non-copyrighted teaching letter.  
Please feel free to make as many copies as you wish, but not to edit.

### **A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER**

This is my one hundred and forty-eighth monthly teaching letter and continues my thirteenth year of publication. Since lesson #137, I have been presenting a series entitled *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told*. I give a general overview before starting the seven stages of this story, which are as follows: (1) the courtship, (2) the marriage, (3) the honeymoon, (4) the estrangement, (5) the divorce, (6) the reconciliation, and (7) the remarriage. Already this subject has expended eleven lessons, and we are just working on the marriage phase of this story.

Before I continue with the prenuptial agreement between Yahweh and the twelve tribes of Israel, in preparation for their marriage, I need to stress the importance of marrying kind after kind! I bring this up, as there are those in Israel Identity who are claiming that the Father/Son as One was not genetically whole; that His Spirit contributed only one chromosome instead of the normal 23 on the part a male. Those who are claiming this are following the liar, Ron Wyatt, a pretended archaeologist. Here is Ron Wyatt's story from website:

<http://www.arkdiscovery.com/aoc-2.htm>

"Mr. Wyatt removed a sample of Christ's blood from the Mercy Seat of the Ark in the cave and paid a lab in Israel to do an analysis of the blood. They put the dark dried-out substance in saline solution for 72 hours. Mr. Wyatt asked them to do a chromosome test, but they informed him that he was wasting his money since you can't do a chromosome test on dead white blood cells. They proceeded with the analysis and said, 'It's your money.' As they began viewing the cells under the electron microscope, they saw cells dividing before their eyes! They could tell it was human blood, but 'This blood is alive!' They couldn't believe what they were seeing! They continued with their tests and found the blood to be unique from any other human blood! Each cell contained only 24 chromosomes compared to the normal count of 46 that you and I have. Christ received 23 chromosomes from Mary, and one 'y' chromosome from His heavenly Father to designate a male child. Others in the lab were asked to come see for themselves. With tears in their eyes they asked whose blood this was, and Mr.

Wyatt replied, 'It is the blood of your Messiah.' Then they asked who the Messiah was. They began wailing and shouting. No other male human being has ever had this same chromosome count! Christ's blood is alive and unique to prove His divinity to the world before He returns to this earth. **[Pile it higher and higher!]** When these tests are repeated for all the world to see, everyone will learn that Jesus was more than a preacher, He was and is the Son of God!"

First of all, no Kenite-Edomite-Canaanite-jew would ever "wail" and "shout" remorsefully over finding Christ's blood! When Yahweh presented Eve to Adam, he said: "... **This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh ...**" This is proof positive that both Adam and Eve each had the normal 23 matching chromosomes, or a total of 46 for reproduction. Therefore, it is blasphemy to claim it was any different between Yahweh and Mary (the mother of Christ, the second Adam!) as Ron Wyatt did. This is important, for when Yahweh married the twelve tribes of Israel, the consummation took place when the Holy Spirit caused Mary to become pregnant, and unless both parties contributed the normal 23 chromosomes, it wasn't **kind after kind**, breaking Yahweh's **racial LAW!** Not only this, but it was a requirement by Yahweh for the priests officiating in the Temple, that none have any physical defects as they **PREFIGURED** Christ! To show the reader this, I will quote from Unger's *Bible Dictionary*, page 882:

"3. **Priests.** (1) **Selection.** God selected as priests the sons (descendants) of Aaron (Exod. 6:16-19; 28:1), but two of his sons, Nadab and Abihu, died without issue, having been put to death for burning strange fire upon the altar (Lev. 10:1), the priesthood was invested in the descendants of Aaron's two other sons, Eleazar and Ithamar (10: 6). The selection went still further, for among these all were disqualified who had any physical defect or infirmity – the blind, lame, flatnosed (q.v.), limbs unduly long (unshapely), broken-handed, crooked-backed, lean and stunted, blemish of the eye, affected with scurvy, scab of any kind of eruption, stones [testicles] broken. These, however, were supported, as the other priests (21:17-23); for no one whose legitimate birth entitled him to admission could be excluded." *[underlining mine]*

From this it should be quite clear, if Yahshua Christ didn't have the full complement of 46 chromosomes (23 from Yahweh and 23 from Mary), He wouldn't have been eligible to become our High Priest! It is nothing short of blasphemy to declare otherwise! The following is a copy of an E-mail I sent to one of the people in Israel Identity promoting the lies of Ron Wyatt: [Beginning of E-mail.]

June 8, 2010, Dear Eli, If you think that Jonathan Gray can make me swallow Ron Wyatt's lie about Christ's 24 chromosomes you are badly mistaken. It doesn't measure up to Scripture whatsoever!

Hebrews 2:16-17 (which Paul wrote) states: "<sup>16</sup> **For verily he took not on *him* the nature of angels; but he took on *him* the seed of Abraham [a male with 46 chromosomes].** <sup>17</sup> **Wherefore in all things it behoved him to be made like unto *his* brethren, that he might be a merciful and faithful high priest in things *pertaining* to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people."**

What is there about “**made like unto *his* brethren**” that we don’t understand. If we are His brethren, then He had 46 chromosomes the same as we do! Why don’t you ask this so-called renowned Jonathan Gray to answer this. Pardon me, I’ll believe Paul any day over Jonathan Gray!

Hebrews 2:11 also states: “**For both he that sanctifieth and they who are sanctified are all of one: for which cause he is not ashamed to call them brethren.”**

If Christ didn’t have the full allotment of chromosomes, He is not our Brother! Thus, Ron Wyatt is a **FOOL!**

Romans 8:29 states: “**For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of his Son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren.”**

Again, if Christ didn’t have the full complement of 46 chromosomes, He is not “**the firstborn among many brethren**”!

Eli, I have already sent my first two brochures, “Ron Wyatt, Honest?, Or Deceitful Fraud?”, to my proofreaders, and you can be sure I am going to address the content of this email in #3, and I’m not about to drop the subject! *Clifton*. [End of E-mail.]

Eli’s answer to me was “O ye of little faith.” Question: Should I be condemned because I believe Holy Writ? Besides, 2nd Maccabees 2:4-8 declares that Jeremiah hid the Ark of the Covenant at Mt. Nebo, not in Jerusalem as Ron Wyatt falsifies!

Now continuing with Yahweh’s prenuptial agreement with the twelve tribes of Israel where we left off in lesson #147 at Exod. 23:12:

## **THE GREATEST LOVE STORY EVER TOLD, Part 12**

**“THE MARRIAGE” continued:**

### **YAHWEH’S PRENUPTIAL AGREEMENT WITH ISRAEL continued:**

At Exod. 23:14-17, we promised Yahweh we would faithfully keep three specific feast days each year consisting of: (1) Passover, (2) Pentecost, and (3) Tabernacles. There are many opinions on the keeping or not keeping of these three feast-days today. Not only that, but there are probably 20 to 30 calendars out there, with everyone claiming his is the only one! So, I will give you my opinion, and it’s only an opinion, and I don’t ask you to agree with me. Some say that after the crucifixion of Christ, all feast days were fulfilled. If that is true, we would never have had the Advent of Pentecost at Acts 2! On the other hand, it is my belief that we are still to have Pentecost, but we are in a different phase of it. (I’ll cite Passover & Tabernacles later) The following is part of what I wrote in my paper *Early Rain vs. Latter Rain*:

The Advent of Pentecost at Acts 2 was the Early Rain, and the Latter Rain is still coming. We find reference to this topic at James 5:7: “**Be patient therefore, brethren, unto the coming of the Master. Behold, the husbandman waiteth for the precious fruit of the earth, and hath long patience for it, until he receive the early and latter rain.**”

Here the early and latter rain represent firstly the rain of *seed time* at planting and secondly the rain of ripening before the *harvest*: The first fell in Judaea about the beginning of our November after the seed was sown; the second toward the end of our April as the ears began filling out in preparation for the full harvest, as their crops developed during the winter and early spring. It is obvious that this passage typifies the beginning of the ekklesia period and extends until the time of Yahshua's Second Advent, with a long dry season between the two rains. Most good farmers are aware that a moderate dry spell after the seed has been planted can be beneficial, causing the plants to develop a vigorous root system so that when the rains finally come the crop will produce an abundant yield.

This may seem a strange perspective from which to look upon the history of the ekklesia, but this is what James and the prophets before him were intending. The reader needs to differentiate between the *early* and *latter* rain, as each is different in its respective nature. In other words, we are not instructed to reenact the events at the Day of Pentecost as a pattern for our worship. While there were miraculous phenomena at Pentecost, as recorded in Acts (such as speaking in tongues), it was only an earnest (down payment) of the Spirit. At the Day of Pentecost there were gathered many good-fig-Judahites from many lands speaking diverse languages, and a miracle was provided in both the speaking and the hearing for that event to be a success. The present-day Pentecostal and charismatic movements have made a mockery of the original happenings recorded at Acts 2. Nor do the present-day Pentecostals and charismatics allude to Old Testament passages in order to explain why there is a Pentecost in the first place. Pentecost in the Old Testament was a feast day mandated for Israelites only, whereas today's Pentecostals and charismatics invite every unclean race to gather with them.

While Pentecost was a very important event in our Israelite history, it served only as a beginning. We Israelites, as a people, had been divorced and completely separated from Yahweh with no hope. Yahweh had married the twelve tribes of Israel, but Israel proved to be unfaithful to her Husband, whereupon He could only divorce them. By law the only way He could remarry them was that one or the other spouse had to die. This is the very purpose for which Yahweh came in the flesh as Yahshua, to die so he could purchase them back as their *[46 chromosome]* Kinsman Redeemer. But that purchasing back could not take place until His death officially took place. Pentecost was the beginning of that process, but as yet there was not a messenger to take "the good news" to the formerly divorced twelve tribes. To accomplish this objective, Paul was chosen to take the Gospel to the lost Israel nations (mistakenly translated by the Latin term "Gentiles"). And by the way, Paul was one of the twelve apostles, as at 2 Corinthians 11:5: "**For I suppose I was not a whit behind the very chiefest apostles.**" 2 Corinthians 12:11: "**I am become a fool in glorying; ye have compelled me: for I ought to have been commended of you: for in nothing am I behind the very chiefest apostles, though I be nothing.**" Thus, if Paul was not behind the very chiefest apostles, he became one of the twelve.

Not only did Yahshua Christ personally choose his twelve apostles, but it was to them (and not to today's Pentecostals and charismatics) that He gave "power *against* unclean spirits to cast them out, and to heal all manner of sickness and all manner of disease", Matt. 10:1. It is true that elsewhere in the New Testament, others are called "apostles", as at 1 Corinthians 12:28, but they are called "apostles of the church", a nondescript term with a general meaning. It is one thing to be an apostle of Yahshua Christ personally sent by Him, but quite another to be an apostle of the church sent by a body of believers. Scripture lacks any record of miracles having been done by any apostles of the church.

The Pentecostals and charismatics make fools of themselves in their attempt to relive Acts 2 all over again, mistakenly believing that the *latter rain* is but more of the same. Rather, the *latter rain* is as different from the *early rain* as harvest is from sowing, or as sowing is from harvest. The Pentecostals and charismatics, by trying to resurrect Pentecost, are like gardeners in Ohio or Michigan trying to plant tomatoes in their garden in September, or a farmer trying to harvest wheat before the kernels are fully developed. Solomon said that there was a time and season for everything, and the time and season for the *early rain* is in the past, but now we must wait patiently for the *latter rain*. I believe that we are experiencing it today in the Israel Identity Message!

To demonstrate that the phenomena of speaking in tongues at Pentecost was unique to the early apostolic age, I will quote from the "Ten Homilies on the First Epistle of John", *The Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers of the Christian Church*, Philip Schaff ed., vol. 7, where Augustine states his understanding:

"In the earliest times, 'the Holy Ghost fell upon them that believed: and they spake with tongues,' which they had not learned, 'as the Spirit gave them utterance.' These were signs adapted to the time. For there behooved to be that betokening of the Holy Spirit in all tongues, to shew that the Gospel of God was to run through all tongues over the whole earth. *That thing was done for a betokening*, and it passed away. In the laying on of hands now, that persons may receive the Holy Ghost, do we look that they should speak with tongues? Or when we laid the hand on these infants, did each one of you look to see whether they would speak with tongues, and, when he saw that they did not speak with tongues, was any of you so wrong-minded as to say, These have not received the Holy Ghost; for, had they received, they would speak with tongues as was the case in those times? If then the witness of the presence of the Holy Ghost be not now given through these miracles, by what is it given, by what does one get to know that he has received the Holy Ghost? Let him question his own heart. If he love his brother the Spirit of God dwelleth in him."

Augustine further wrote at "Lectures or Tractates on the Gospel According to St. John", *Ibid.*, 195:

"How then, brethren, because he that is baptized in Christ, and believes on Him, does not speak now in the tongues of all nations, are we not to believe that he has received the Holy Ghost? God forbid that our heart should be tempted by this faithlessness. Certain we are that every man receives: but only as much as the vessel of faith that he shall bring to the fountain can contain, so much does He fill of it. Since,

therefore, the Holy Ghost is even now received by men, some one may say, Why is it that no man speaks in the tongues of all nations? Because the Church itself now speaks in the tongues of all nations. Before, the Church was in one nation, where it spoke in the tongues of all. By speaking then in the tongues of all, it signified what was to come to pass; that by growing among the nations, it would speak in the tongues of all.”

Therefore, when one reads the 12th chapter of 1 Corinthians, one should consider to whom, why, when, where, and under what circumstances it was written. It should be obvious that the instruction of 1 Cor. 12 was written for people shortly after the Day of Pentecost, and that these experiences were fresh in their minds.

We need now to go to Joel 2:23, where Joel prophesied in part of the Day of Pentecost: **“<sup>23</sup> Be glad then, ye children of Zion, and rejoice in Yahweh your *singular*-Elohim: for he hath given you the former rain moderately, and he will cause to come down for you the rain, the former rain, and the latter rain in the first *month*. <sup>24</sup> And the floors shall be full of wheat, and the vats shall overflow with wine and oil. <sup>25</sup> And I will restore to you the years that the locust hath eaten, the cankerworm, and the caterpillar, and the palmerworm, my great army which I sent among you. <sup>26</sup> And ye shall eat in plenty, and be satisfied, and praise the name of Yahweh your *singular*-Elohim, that hath dealt wondrously with you: and my people shall never be ashamed. <sup>27</sup> And ye shall know that I *am* in the midst of Israel, and *that I am* Yahweh your *singular*-Elohim, and none else: and my people shall never be ashamed. <sup>28</sup> And it shall come to pass afterward, *that I will pour out my spirit upon all flesh; and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, your old men shall dream dreams, your young men shall see visions: <sup>29</sup> And also upon the servants and upon the handmaids in those days will I pour out my spirit.*”**

As I stated before, the *early rain* at Pentecost was only an earnest (partial payment) of all of these things, and Peter was not incorrect for citing Joel, but the greater part of Joel’s prophecy has not yet come to pass, and that is where most Bible students miss the point. Notice how Joel states, “... he hath given you the former rain moderately ...” It says here: “... the floors shall be full of wheat, and the vats shall overflow with wine and oil ...” Yes, we have this situation, but our enemy controls all the markets, with the farmer getting little more than a token for his labor and investment, while the bad-fig-jew takes all the profit. And now that the supermarkets have put the mom and pop stores out of business, the b-f-j’s control the price at both ends. Not only that, but having complete control of the food business, they raise the prices for the Whites and then give food stamps to the *beasts of the field*. This is not only happening in the area of food, but also medicine, energy, education and housing. As yet we haven’t realized “... I will restore to you the years that the locust hath eaten, the cankerworm, and the caterpillar, and the palmerworm, my great army which I sent among you.” Once the jew-locust, jew-cankerworm, jew-caterpillar, jew-palmerworm is no longer with us we will be able to experience the *latter rain*. This will amount to 2,700 years worth of restoration.

But the greatest restoration will be: "And it shall come to pass afterward, *that* I will pour out my spirit upon all *[Israelite]* flesh; and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, your old men shall dream dreams, your young men shall see visions: And also upon the servants and upon the handmaids in those days will I pour out my spirit." This blessing is already being realized in the Israel Identity movement to some degree and will continue as we are sprinkled with clean water. We are told at Ezekiel 36:25:

**"Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean: from all your filthiness, and from all your idols, will I cleanse you."**

Though we realized a limited purification with the Reformation, it is only through the Israel Identity movement that we can observe any great progress in this type of cleansing. Therefore, I am convinced that the Israel Identity movement is the very essence of the prophesied *latter rain*. The people coming into the movement are all muddied up with the errant doctrines of nominal churchianity, and when subjected to Yahweh's sprinkling, the moist dirt starts to roll down with clean streaks alternating with streaks of mud.

As a result, there are mud-streaks of universalism, mud-streaks of single-seedline, mud-streaks of no-Satan dogma, mud-streaks of outright disapproval of Yahshua's name to mention a few. And, in addition, there are mud-streaks of pride. It should be quite apparent that Peter's reference to Joel was only to the *early rain* and not to the *latter rain*. That the *early rain* was only an earnest as stated at 2 Cor. 5:5: **"Now he that hath wrought us for the selfsame thing is God, who also hath given unto us the earnest of the Spirit."** Therefore, the recent charismatic movement and the Pentecostals of the last 150 years have little comprehension of either the *former* or *latter rain* foretold by Joel. When we finally experience the *latter rain* in its fullness it will dwarf the *early rain* in comparison, and be received only by Israelites. Others need not apply.

The Pentecostals and charismatics make the claim that Peter believed as they do, and if living today, he would be one of them. They will usually start with their perverted concept of the baptism of the Spirit. They will demand that one experience various phenomena, such as speaking in tongues, feelings of euphoria, visions, and emotional outbreaks of various kinds, and those who have not experienced such accompanying phenomenon are not considered Spirit-filled, but rather immature, carnal, disobedient or otherwise incomplete Christians.

Pentecostals and charismatics will demand their experiences take precedence over the Bible, and if the Bible doesn't support their experiences, the Bible be damned. If one examines magazines, books and TV programs sponsored by these movements, he will find they emphasize "visions", "dreams", "words of knowledge", "private messages from God" and other personal experiences, often out-of-context with Scripture, or entirely obliterating its truths in the process.

But is this the kind of demeanor that Peter promoted? We find the answer at 2 Peter 1:19-21: **"<sup>19</sup> We have also a more sure word of prophecy; whereunto ye do well that ye take heed, as unto a light that shineth in a dark place, until the day dawn, and the day star arise in your hearts: <sup>20</sup> Knowing this first, that no prophecy**

**of the scripture is of any private interpretation. <sup>21</sup> For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as *they were* moved by the Holy Ghost.”**

Notice here the words “sure word”, which are 180 degrees opposite of experience theology. A belief system based on experience theology would be better left to mysticism, which essentially it is. “Experience theology” is akin to the “if it feels good, do it” philosophy similar to the sex orgies under every green tree spoken of in the Old Testament and which is so prevalent among many of today’s Pentecostals and charismatics. [Last of quoting *Early Rain vs. Latter Rain*]

We are still on the subject of Yahweh’s nuptial agreement with the twelve tribes of Israel at Exod. 23:14-17, and now we will take up the matter of dates. There is one very important point to make: unless one can establish the time for keeping Passover, one cannot establish the dates for all the other feast-days during the year. I can’t exactly pin it down, and I don’t believe anyone else can either. So what I will do is give you some of my conjecture. The best reference I have on it is found in *The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible*, vol. 5, page 692 under the heading:

**“PENTECOST ... 3 Reckoning the feast.** In ancient Palestine, the grain harvest lasted seven weeks, beginning with [*the*] barley harvest during the Passover and ending with the wheat harvest at Pentecost. The offering of the sheaf fell on the day after a sabbath; reckoning this as the first day, the feast was celebrated on the fiftieth day. Disagreement has arisen as to the meaning of ‘sabbath.’ Is the weekly sabbath meant? Is some other day of rest (Israel has several sabbaths) indicated? The words ‘after the seventh sabbath’ (Lev 23:16) argue for the first possibility. If this is true, the festival would always fall on the same day of the week, namely, Sunday. This has its counterpart in the Christian Day of Pentecost. According to rabbinical judgment, the ‘sabbath’ in question was not the weekly sabbath, that is, the one which came in the week of the Feast of Unleavened Bread; rather, it was the fifteenth day of Nisan, described as a day of ‘holy convocation’ and of rest from work (23:7). The Day of the Sheaf then fell on the subsequent day, the sixteenth of Nisan. The Jews [sic Judahites], therefore, celebrated the feast on the basis of this reckoning. The Sadducees always started counting on a Sunday; so Pentecost always fell on a Sunday. The Pharisees understood the ‘sabbath’ of Leviticus 23 as the first day of Passover (the fifteenth of Nisan). Thus, [to them] Pentecost always came fifty days after the sixteenth of Nisan, and the day of celebration varied from year to year. This view prevailed after A.D. 70. In later Judaism it was considered as the concluding feast of the Passover.”

There appears to be a discrepancy between the Pharisees and Sadducees concerning the timing of the wave sheaf offering. It has always been my opinion that Pentecost would always fall on Sunday. My reason for taking that position is because it accords with several passages of Scripture. Let’s bring a third party into the hassle, and see if we can make any sense of it! The romish church has used every occasion to support their holy day of Mithraism (that being Sunday)! Here is how they have done it at:



The *Ante-Nicene Fathers* Vol. II, Section IV., “From the Books of the Hypotyposes”, Part XI., “Fragments Found in Greek Only in the Oxford Edition”:

“Suitably, therefore, to the fourteenth day, on which He also suffered, in the morning, the chief priests and the scribes, who brought Him to Pilate, did not enter the Praetorium, that they might not be defiled, but might freely eat the passover in the evening. With this precise determination of the days both the whole Scriptures agree, and the Gospels harmonize. The resurrection also attests it. He certainly rose on the third day, which fell on the first day of the weeks of harvest, on which the law prescribed that the priest should offer up the sheaf.” [The romish church used this to support their sun-day!]

This is substantial evidence that Bowen’s Chart on *Three Days And Three Nights* is correct on the last nine days of Christ before His Resurrection. More evidence can be found from *A Commentary* by Jamieson, Fausset & Brown on Lev. 23:9-22, vol. 1, pp. 497-498:

“9-14. – **THE SHEAF OF FIRST-FRUITS.** 10. a sheaf of the first-fruits – a sheaf ... an omer of the first-fruits of the barley harvest. The barley being sooner ripe than the other grains, the reaping of it formed the commencement of the general harvest season; for previous to that no Israelite could begin his harvest nor eat of the new grain. The offering described in this passage was made on the sixteenth of the first month (Abib or Nisan), the day following the first passover Sabbath, which was on the fifteenth (corresponding to the beginning of our April), and the second day of the festival, the sixteenth of the month (Josephus’ *Antiquities*, book iii., ch. x., sec. 5); but it was reaped after sunset on the previous evening, by persons deputed to go with sickles and obtain samples from different fields. These being laid together in a sheaf or loose bundle, were brought to the court of the temple, where the grain was winnowed, parched, and bruised in a mortar. Josephus mentions that it was ... (v. 14) parched or dried before the fire, because the corn might not be generally ripe. **11. the morrow after the sabbath** – *i.e.*, the day after the Sabbath, not the weekly Sabbath, but the first day of unleavened bread, which was to be kept as a Sabbath; for upon it there was to be a holy convocation, and no servile work was to be done. After some incense had been sprinkled on it, the priest waved it aloft before the Lord towards the four different points of the compass, took a part of it and threw it into the fire of the altar, all the rest being reserved to himself. It was a proper and beautiful act, expressive of dependence on the God of nature and providence – common amongst all people, but more especially becoming the Israelites, who owed their land itself, as well as all it produced, to the Divine bounty. The offering of the wave-sheaf sanctified the whole harvest (Rom. xi. 16: see on Deut. xxvi, 5-10). At the same time this feast had a typical character and pre-intimated the resurrection of Christ (1 Cor. xv. 20), who rose from the dead on the very day the first-fruits were offered.

“15-22. – **FEAST OF PENTECOST.** **15. ye shall count ... from the morrow after the sabbath** – *i.e.*, after the first day of the passover week, which was observed as a Sabbath. **16. number fifty days.** The forty-ninth day after the presentation of the first-fruits or the fifteenth including it, was the Pentecost (see also Exod xxiii: 16; Deut.

xvi. 9). **17. Ye shall bring out of your habitation, &c.** As the presentation of these loaves would take place, not in the dark, but certainly in daylight, this may explain the peculiar expression, 'the day of Pentecost was fully come' (Acts ii.) – *i.e.*, in the morning of the day, which commenced on the preceding evening. These loaves were made of 'fine' or wheaten flour, the quantity contained in them being somewhat more than 10 lbs. weight. As the wave-sheaf gave the signal for the commencement, the two loaves solemnized the termination of the harvest season. They were the first-fruits of that season, being offered unto the Lord by the priest in name of the whole nation (see on Exod, xxxiv. 22). The loaves used at the passover were unleavened, those presented at Pentecost were leavened – a difference which is thus accounted for, – that the one was a memorial of the bread hastily prepared at their departure while the other was a tribute of gratitude to God for their daily food, which was leavened. 'The feast of Pentecost prefigured the mission of the Holy Spirit – *the first-fruits of the Spirit* which followed that sacred day on which the law was given, and by which the *spirit of bondage* was introduced, as it also prefigured the first-fruits of the new Church (Acts ii.), and of the ministry of the apostles, and of that new bread with which the Jews [sic Judahites] first, and then the Gentiles [sic Israelites], were to be fed' (*Spanheim*, 'Chronol. Sac. Par.', i., cap. 15). **21. ye shall proclaim on the selfsame day ... an holy convocation.** Though it extended over a week, the first day only was held as a Sabbath, both for the national offering of first-fruits and a memorial of the giving of the law."

If one will check Josephus' *Antiq.* 3.10.5, one will find that he indicates that the 7 day feast of unleavened bread followed immediately after the Passover on the 15th of Nisan, and the wave-sheaf of barley was offered on the 16th day, which is contrary to the Bible. Josephus was a Pharisee, and it is evident that the Pharisees had changed the procedure, no doubt to spite the Sadducees. There is evidence that the Kenite-Edomite-jews changed the chronology of the Patriarchs by 1486 years to prove Christ was not the Messiah, so why couldn't they have changed the day the wave sheaf was waved?

Christ announced to those interlopers at Matt. 21:43: "**Therefore say I unto you, The kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof.**"

My conjecture is: If the kingdom changed location, and that location was surely Great Britain, we should keep Passover and time the subsequent feast-days from the month of "green ears" (or the first ripe barley) according to the location Yahshua Christ had reference to. Britain gets that warm Gulf of Mexico current, and no doubt it is very similar to the weather in Palestine. Besides, and it is probably no coincidence, that today's time is measured from Greenwich, England. Until clear evidence is found, I decline to take a rigid position on this.