

WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER

Monthly Letter #142; February, 2010 By: Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830; Ph. (419)435-2836

Fax (419)435-7571; E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

TO THOSE WHOM THE COVENANT BELONGS

A NON-UNIVERSAL CULTURE AWARENESS INSTRUCTIONAL PUBLICATION

This is a non-copyrighted teaching letter.
Please feel free to make as many copies as you wish, but not to edit.

A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER

This is my one hundred and forty-second monthly teaching letter and continues my twelfth year of publication. Starting with lesson #137, I have been addressing a new subject entitled *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told* which involves the very important Biblical story of how our Almighty Yahweh married the twelve tribes of Israel. There are seven stages of this marriage relationship as follows: (1) the courtship, (2) the marriage, (3) the honeymoon, (4) the estrangement, (5) the divorce, (6) the reconciliation, and (7) the remarriage. And while the entire narrative is significant throughout the Bible, we are especially interested in the part the apostle Paul played in the reconciliation stage at, 2 Corinthians 11:2, where he wrote: **“For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ.”** We cannot fully understand what Paul is stating here unless we comprehend that many passages in the Old Testament speak of Yahweh’s marriage to the twelve tribes. Therefore, if Christ and Yahweh are two different persons, as the trinitarians claim, Paul was bearing false witness. Notice that Paul specifically states, **“... I have espoused you to one husband ...”**, not two! Therefore, any mention of God being a trinity of three individual persons is a lie from the get-go.

THE GREATEST LOVE STORY EVER TOLD, Part 6

“THE COURTSHIP” continued:

As we move through the history of Yahweh’s chosen Caucasian seedline, this love story intensifies. This chosen seedline was not to worship in the same manner as the non-Israelites around them, but they were to approach and meet their Almighty in a very prescribed manner. Their altars were to be erected in a very precise fashion, and only the blood of clean animals was accepted as a clean sacrifice for a clean virgin seedline all the way back to Adam. Not only that, but every ritual in their worship foreshadowed their eventual marriage, estrangement, divorce, reconciliation and remarriage to this one Almighty God. When Paul stated **“one husband”** he knew what he was talking about. And since Paul declared **“one husband”**, he wasn’t teaching a trinity of persons. So don’t say that you believe Paul and, at the same time, promote a

trinity! In doing so, you're is not following Paul, but is following the pagan romish catholic church! And protestantism is little more than warmed-over catholicism!

As we continue, we find we are establishing the courtship stage of Yahweh's Covenant with His beloved, His only one, His darling, His sweetheart. All of this had been going on since the creation of Adam, but the forming of an elect bride began with the call of Abraham in chapter 12 of Genesis. It was not the entire Adamic seedline that was being courted, but the cream-of-the-crop of the Adamic seedline. This is not only an exclusive race of people, but an exclusive of family-line within that race. What we have in Genesis chapters 1 through 11 is a history of those Adamites who were chosen of Yahweh, and the other Adamites who were not.

In Genesis chapters 1 through 11 we have crowded into a short space an account of the formation of the universe, the creation of Adam-kind, and Satan's failure to stamp out the Adamic race through the sexual seduction of Eve. Then the program of miscegenation continued with the "sons of heaven" (fallen angels) mating with the Adamic women, bringing forth mutated children whom by-and-large were destroyed at the time of Noah's flood, although some survived, only to mix with the seed of Canaan (Ham's ill-gotten son by his mother, Noah's wife). After the event of Noah's flood, we find chronicled the building of the tower of Babel all crowded into these same 11 chapters. Further, we find during this period that Yahweh was courting unto Himself an exclusive Adamic seedline within the Adamic seedline! And if this elect seedline would scarcely be saved, where do the ungodly non-Adamic seedlines stand, 1 Peter 4:18? Then, from the first word of the 12th chapter of Genesis to the last word of the last chapter of the book of Revelation, we are given insight about Yahweh and His love relationship with one particular seedline called Israel, His darling, His sweetheart, with all others being excluded.

Dear reader, stop and consider that starting with Genesis chapter 12 through 24, the narrative is primarily about Abraham. Then, where Genesis chapter 24 leaves off with Abraham, through Genesis chapter 28, it is chiefly an account of Isaac. And where Genesis chapter 28 discontinues with Isaac, it begins with Jacob and follows through Genesis chapter 37. And where Genesis chapter 37 by-and-large concludes with Jacob, the chronicle continues principally about Joseph through Genesis chapter 50. So in chapters 12 through 50 we are dealing basically with four men, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and Joseph. Yahweh, help us to realize the significance of the story that the Bible truly reveals to us, or *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told*.

We must remember that throughout the history of the patriarchs, from Adam to Abraham, that Yahweh was courting a relationship with this seedline by making promises such as the Adamic and Noahic covenants. But these are only two out of nine covenants that He would eventually establish with this exclusive seedline. This exclusiveness later passed from Abraham to Isaac; then it passed from Isaac to Jacob (called Israel); then it passed from Jacob-Israel to his twelve sons; then it passed down-line from each of Jacob-Israel's twelve sons, from father to son, from one generation to another, and if one cannot trace his or her lineage to one of Jacob-Israel's twelve sons he or she is not of that exclusive seedline! And that lineage must be traced through the

father's side of the house in each up-line generation. However, Israelite men could take Adamic non-Israelite wives.

Now when we consider Abraham, we should recognize that Yahweh called this man out, and dear reader, we should take off our shoes as we are standing on Holy Covenant ground. Not only should we do this for Abraham, but Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons as well. And I would remind the reader that that same Holy Covenant ground is still with us today, and should be respected as such. This Holy Covenant ground is for a selected seedline and cannot be shared with others for whom the door is forever closed. All of this Holy Covenant ground first appears in chapter 12 of Genesis, and from that point on throughout the rest of the Bible, it's the story of one man's family. Reading Scripture in any other way is entirely out-of-context!

When Yahweh called Abraham from Ur of the Chaldees at Genesis 12:1-3, it is stated: **"¹ Now Yahweh had said unto Abram, Get thee out of thy country, and from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will shew thee: ² And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing: ³ And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed."** Before we go any further, we should identify what is meant by the phrase "all families of the earth". This phrase is almost always taken out-of-context today and errantly applied to all of the races of this world. To determine its true meaning, we must investigate the Hebrew word translated into English as "earth". Here "earth" is #127 in the Strong's and is defined as "soil (from its redness) ..." This same Hebrew word #127 is used at Gen. 2:7 where it is written: **"And Yahweh *singular*-Elohim formed man of the dust of the ground¹²⁷, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul."** Therefore what Gen. 12:3 is really saying is that through Abraham, Isaac and Jacob's twelve sons shall the many nations formed by the twelve tribes of Israel be blessed, and indirectly some of the other White Adamites not under the Covenant to a lesser degree. It has absolutely nothing to do with the nonwhite races! Anyone who doesn't believe this should check out Strong's Hebrew #127 for themselves!

The Abrahamic Covenant begins in Genesis chapter 12, as stated above. It continues in chapter 13, looking carefully at verse 16 where it reads: **"And I will make thy seed as the dust of the earth: so that if a man can number the dust of the earth, *then* shall thy seed also be numbered."** It continues, then, in Genesis chapter 17 on a grand scale, and one must read that entire chapter. But I will quote only verses 6, 7, 19, 21: **"⁶ And I will make thee exceeding fruitful, and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee. ⁷ And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy seed after thee in their generations for an everlasting covenant, to be a God unto thee, and to thy seed after thee. ... ¹⁹ And God said, Sarah thy wife shall bear thee a son indeed; and thou shalt call his name Isaac: and I will establish my covenant with him for an everlasting covenant, *and* with his seed after him. ... ²¹ But my covenant will I establish with Isaac, which Sarah shall bear unto thee at this set time in the next year."** This was an unconditional Covenant as there were no strings attached on the part of Abraham and Isaac, meaning that it can never be annulled or be made lawfully void for any

reason. And because Yahweh could find no greater witnesses, He swore by the sun and the moon, that as long as these shone in the heavens, this Covenant relationship would not be broken. The last time that I checked, the sun and moon were still shining!

Again I will state, we should take off our shoes as we are standing on Holy Covenant ground! This courtship stage of Yahweh for His chosen seedline is far too serious to pass over lightly. At this juncture it should be demonstrated just how important this Holy Covenant ground is. Although this Covenant made by Yahweh to Abraham was unconditional, nevertheless Yahweh still put Abraham to the test! But, Yahweh knowing all things in advance, knew that Abraham would pass it. The story of this testing is found at Genesis 22:2, 6-8, 10-13:

“² And he said, Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest, and get thee into the land of Moriah; and offer him there for a burnt offering upon one of the mountains which I will tell thee of. ... ⁶ And Abraham took the wood of the burnt offering, and laid *it* upon Isaac his son; and he took the fire in his hand, and a knife; and they went both of them together. ⁷ And Isaac spake unto Abraham his father, and said, My father: and he said, Here *am* I, my son. And he said, Behold the fire and the wood: but where *is* the lamb for a burnt offering? ⁸ And Abraham said, My son, God will provide himself a lamb for a burnt offering: so they went both of them together. ... ¹⁰ And Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay his son. ¹¹ And the angel of Yahweh called unto him out of heaven, and said, Abraham, Abraham: and he said, Here *am* I. ¹² And he said, Lay not thine hand upon the lad, neither do thou any thing unto him: for now I know that thou fearest God, seeing thou hast not withheld thy son, thine only son from me. ¹³ And Abraham lifted up his eyes, and looked, and behold behind *him* a ram caught in a thicket by his horns: and Abraham went and took the ram, and offered him up for a burnt offering in the stead of his son. ...”

What man or woman contemplating marriage hasn't wondered within themselves, "Will this spouse be faithful to me through thick and thin?" And if that question isn't settled beforehand, they shouldn't be getting married. And so it was with Yahweh and His intended Bride. As a covenant of marriage between a man and a woman is holy and to be unbroken, so too is the Covenant of marriage by Yahweh to His chosen wife to be Holy and unbroken! Again I will repeat, we should take off our shoes, for we are standing on Holy Covenant ground!

Many might wonder at the significance of Abraham placing Isaac on the altar. To start with, one must remember that Yahweh made an unconditional and everlasting promise to Abraham. Isaac was the first miraculous fulfillment of that promise. So, there was much more going on with this act of Abraham placing Isaac on the altar than might be apparent to the eye, for when Abraham placed Isaac on that altar, he also placed every generation down-line after Isaac on the altar to this very day. In other words, we who are descended from Isaac through Jacob-Israel were placed on that same altar with Isaac. Therefore, when we took our first breath of life, we belonged to Yahweh. Even before that, we belonged to Yahweh when we were conceived, whether we like it or not, and what is there about it to dislike? What it all boils down to is, we are not our own, we were conceived and born under contract, and we have no choice in that

matter. And what other choice would we want to have? It can't be any better than what we already have, which we didn't ask for in the first place. Again, I will repeat, we should take off our shoes for we are standing on Holy Covenant ground! Who is there among us that would want to trade places with someone else whose feet aren't standing on Holy Covenant ground?

While it is very comforting to realize that this placing of Isaac on the altar, by Abraham, secures for us our position under Yahweh's Covenant, that is no guarantee that we cannot lose our right of birth. Esau is a good example of one who lost his. And once lost, it can never be regained no matter how hard one might try. Esau lost his position under Yahweh's Covenant by marrying two Hittite women not of his race! Not only did Esau lose his position under Yahweh's Covenant, but it brought the wrath of Yahweh down upon him and all of his offspring. It is recorded both at Malachi 1:2-3 and Rom. 9:13: **"As it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated."** Not only did Esau abandon his position under Yahweh's Covenant, but it is prophesied that his posterity will be destroyed forever at Obadiah 18: **"And the house of Jacob shall be a fire, and the house of Joseph a flame, and the house of Esau for stubble, and they shall kindle in them, and devour them; and there shall not be *any* remaining of the house of Esau; for Yahweh hath spoken *it*."** Not only is this a judgment of destruction for Esau and his progeny, but is also a warning of destruction for anyone who commits miscegenation (race-mixing). More data on Esau can be found in Genesis chapter 25.

It is important to notice that the Covenant originally given to Abraham was repeated to Isaac at Gen. 26:2-5: **"² And Yahweh appeared unto him [Isaac], and said, Go not down into Egypt; dwell in the land which I shall tell thee of: ³ Sojourn in this land, and I will be with thee, and will bless thee; for unto thee, and unto thy seed, I will give all these countries, and I will perform the oath which I sware unto Abraham thy father; ⁴ And I will make thy seed to multiply as the stars of heaven, and will give unto thy seed all these countries; and in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed; ⁵ Because that Abraham obeyed my voice, and kept my charge, my commandments, my statutes, and my laws."** This repeated Covenant to Isaac alone indicates that Ishmael, son of Abraham by Hagar, and the offspring of Abraham by Keturah were bypassed by Yahweh and were not included under the Abrahamic Covenant. Gen. 21:10 & Gal. 4:30 clearly state: **"Nevertheless what saith the scripture? Cast out the bondwoman and her son: for the son of the bondwoman shall not be heir with the son of the freewoman.** (See also Rom. 9:7-8.) So, not only did the Covenant-line follow Abraham but also Sarah. How could it be otherwise when both Hagar and Keturah were rejected as true heirs of the Covenant? Maybe a better way of stating this situation is that Sarah was Yahweh's favorite wife for Abraham. She must have been quite a woman!

I should also point out that race-mixing always breaks up a family, as it did with Jacob and Esau. The family may attempt to smooth it over by putting its best foot forward, but just as in the case of Jacob and Esau, there is no hope for reconciliation, ever! At Gen. 35:1 we are informed: **"And God said unto Jacob, Arise, go up to Bethel, and dwell there: and make there an altar unto God, that appeared unto**

thee when thou fleddest from the face of Esau thy brother.” You will notice here that it was necessary for Jacob to flee from his brother Esau. When alien blood enters a family, it always causes a division, and Isaac’s family was no exception. The rift in Isaac’s family caused his two sons to be separated for 14 years, and after that 14 years there was a truce of sorts, but each of the brothers had to go their own way, leaving Isaac and Rebekah at home grieving over the situation, for race-mixing always causes “a grief of mind”!

Then we are informed in the next verse, at Gen. 35:2: **“Then Jacob said unto his household, and to all that were with him, Put away the strange gods that are among you, and be clean, and change your garments.”** It is always the influence of strange gods that leads to racial impurity, but here Jacob instructs his family to clean up their act by casting away their idols and certain idolatrous costume jewelry, and taking a bath with a change of clean clothes. After all, Jacob’s family was standing firmly on Holy Covenant ground, and it was time for them to act and look the part! Why do all of this? They were on their way to Bethel (the house of El)! Esau was an idolater inasmuch as he esteemed Hittite women higher than his own kind, even going to the extent of marrying two of them. This is no different than those who idolize so-called sports figures today not of their own race, when they gather around their TV to cheer them on. This is idolatry of the most malignant kind, for the end thereof is death, without any hope of resurrection on the part of the offspring of the one who would commit miscegenation as the result of such idolatry! Just as the offspring of the race-mixer Esau was rejected and hated of Yahweh, so also with those who would idolize a sports figure not of his own kind! There is no room for universalism on Holy Covenant ground.

When we arrive at Genesis 48:13-22, we are again standing on Holy Covenant ground with the story of Jacob blessing Joseph’s two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh. Here again, we see Yahweh’s courting of Israel for marriage in action. Before we get involved with Joseph and his two sons, we need to understand how Joseph’s wife came under Yahweh’s Covenant to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. Here is what I wrote in *Watchman’s Teaching Letter #31* for November of 2000:

In the *Halley’s Bible Handbook* by Henry H. Halley, page 107, it states: **“Joseph Made Ruler of Egypt.** Joseph married a daughter of the priest of On; and, though he had a heathen wife, and ruled a heathen kingdom, and resided in a center of vile Idolatry, he maintained his childhood faith in the God of his fathers, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.”

I am going to have to beg to differ with Halley on Joseph’s wife, for it appears she was of the House of Shem, just as Tamar was (the mother of Pharez and Zarah). And, I don’t believe that Joseph’s father-in-law was practicing “vile idolatry”, for he was a priest of “Beth Shemesh.” It is also evident that there were, at least, some Shemites in Egypt during Joseph’s time, and Joseph didn’t marry a heathen as implied! Then in *Watchman’s Teaching Letter #33* for January of 2001 I stated:

There is another consideration we should take into account concerning the story of Joseph as it is recorded in the Bible. Sometimes little details are hidden just under the surface until we take the time to really analyze them. Joseph’s marriage to Asenath is one of these hidden cases. Let’s read the account at Genesis 41:45:

“And Pharaoh called Joseph’s name Zaphnathpaaneah; and he gave him to wife Asenath the daughter of Potipherah priest of On. And Joseph went out over all the land of Egypt.”

Did you notice what we just read about the pharaoh giving Joseph his wife? There is only one way that he would have had the authority to do such a thing. That is if the pharaoh was of the House of Shem himself. At that time period, evidently the pharaohs were Shemites. Obviously, the lower class of the Egyptians were not though, only the ruling class. If this is true, it puts our story in an entirely different light! Also, if this is true, we can be sure Joseph wasn’t sold by the Ishmaelites to the Hyksos, but to Amosis’ area at Thebes. ...

It would appear, with the marriage of Joseph to Asenath, there was a close family relationship between Joseph and the pharaoh. Howard B. Rand, in his book *Primo-genesis*, page 117 seems to agree with this when he says:

“The priestly caste to which Joseph’s father-in-law, the Priest of On, belonged was undoubtedly of the line of Shem. Apparently Shem’s descendants were established in the office of the priesthood in Egypt at the time of the building of the Great Pyramid. Thus, the purity of the racial stock of the appointed seed was preserved in the birth of these boys to Joseph and Asenath.”

Then from *Watchman’s Teaching Letter #40* for January of 2001 I stated: We also know that Joseph’s wife was of the House of Shem, for her father was a priest of On. On was called “Beth Shemesh”, meaning House of Shem. Unless the pharaoh that gave Joseph his wife was also of the House of Shem, he wouldn’t have had the authority to do so. At this point, I will relate to you what one of my proofreaders (William Finck) pointed out in one of his letters to me on this subject:

“Concerning Beth-Shemesh, and we may have discussed this, and from your letters certainly you see it, but I am compelled to discuss it again here. ‘Shemesh’, I am convinced is surely a double-entendre. For the word means ‘sun’ in Hebrew, obvious from the Greek translation ‘Heliopolis’ which means ‘city (polis) of the sun (helios)’, but also, and just as well in palaeo Hebrew, means ‘people of Shem.’ For the people of Shem are the ‘light of the world’ (Matt. 5:14), and just like the ancient Pharaohs, Yahshua is represented as the source of light, Rev. 21:23; John 1:4-9; 8:12; Rev. 22:16.” ...

The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible, volume M-P, page 535: “... Jeremiah 43:13 predicts that Nebuchadnezzar will break the obelisks of Heliopolis (בֵּית שֶׁמֶשׁ; KJV ‘Beth Shemesh’). Obelisks originally at Heliopolis have been taken to Rome and Istanbul and two to Alexandria, and then one of these two to London and one to New York. Only one remains at On.”

This evidence clearly demonstrates that Joseph’s wife was a pure descendant of Shem. It was her bloodline that counts to be a proper wife for Joseph. How much pagan religion had been adopted by these Shemites in Egypt we do not know. Asenath, upon her marriage to Joseph came under Yahweh’s Covenant to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, but had it been a female Israelite marrying a male Shemite not under Yahweh’s Covenant, Jacob would not have blessed him nor his children. So, once we understand that Asenath was a pureblooded Shemite, we are standing on solid Holy Covenant

ground! The root of this matter is that there are far too many misconceptions concerning the bloodlines of such key Bible personalities such as Moses' wife, the Ethiopian eunuch, etc. floating around, causing untold confusion which are not true! One such Bible personality which 99%+ people get wrong, is claiming that Ruth was a Moabite, whereas she was a pure-blooded Israelite lady whose family took up residence in a land formerly occupied by the Moabites. The following is a portion of an article I wrote in a brochure entitled *Merits & Shortcomings Of British-Israel #1*:

As for Rahab being "a Canaanitish Gentile woman", Mr. W.H. Poole is overlooking the fact that Rahab displayed her tribe's symbol of the "scarlet-thread", which definitely makes her of the tribe of Zerah-Judah. Neither was Rahab a harlot, as Josephus clearly shows in his account. If you are not familiar with the fact that Rahab was not a harlot, you need to get a copy of my *Watchman's Teaching Letter #120* for April, 2008. How Rahab ever ended up in Jericho is uncertain, but it is quite evident that most of Zerah-Judah left Egypt before the Exodus and ended up at Troy. So Rahab, like Asenath, was also as racially pure as the falling snow.

Nor was "Ruth a Moabitish heathen", as Mr. W.H. Poole states. There is still an erroneous claim made today that Ruth was a "gentile Moabite" who became an ancestor of Yahshua Christ. Anyone who makes such a declaration really hasn't thoroughly researched the Biblical history surrounding the story of Ruth.

If one will check, he will find that during the Joshua period, the Israelites killed and displaced the occupants of a large portion of the land of Moab, and then reoccupied the land of Moab for themselves for 300 years. Please check the following scriptures: Num. 21:25, **29**, 31; 33-35; Deut. 2:32-34; Deut. 3:12-16; 23:**3**; Judg. 11:12-**26**; Zeph. 2:9; Isa. 25:10. Ruth was an Israelite who merely dwelt in the land of Moab. Ruth was a Moabite only by geographic area rather than by genetics. Christ was of a pure bloodline all the way back to Adam!

To understand the chronological order of events, one must fathom that: firstly, Sihon, king of the Amorites, had conquered and occupied the kingdom of Moab. Secondly, that after Sihon had absorbed the Moabites, Israel destroyed both the Amorites as well as the Moabites whom Sihon had conquered and brought under his rule. Upon driving the Amorites (+ absorbed Moabites) out of the promised land, it is recorded at Joshua 18:7 that half of the tribe of Manasseh, along with the tribes of Gad and Reuben, moved into the former land of Moab east of the Jordan. It was later, during the Judges period, that an Israelite lady from the conquered land of Moab by the name of Ruth journeyed with her mother-in-law Naomi back to Bethlehem. With a King James Bible in his hands, this matter of Ruth should have been fully resolved by Mr. W.H. Poole! But I would estimate that less than one tenth of 1% of all Bible scholars over the last 2000 years have been able to resolve this matter about Ruth being an Israelite!

Let me state it yet another way for those who find it hard to understand that Ruth was an Israelite and not a Moabite. At the time of Ruth, the Israelites had occupied the land of Moab for approximately 300 years without interruption! I don't believe there is any problem with understanding that at the time of Ruth all Israel was ruled by judges. That means that Israelite judges were assigned to half of the tribe of Manasseh, along with the tribes of Gad and Reuben, on the east side of the Jordan river in the land

formerly known as Moab. In other words, when Elimelech and Naomi and their two sons left Bethlehem due to the famine, they left the jurisdiction of their judge at Bethlehem and came under the jurisdiction of the Israelite judge in the land of Moab, for whatever particular tribe of Manasseh, Reuben or Gad who had settled there. Therefore, when the two sons of Elimelech and Naomi took women of Moab as wives, they had taken wives from one of the three tribes of Manasseh, Gad or Reuben. Then after Elimelech and their two sons had died, Naomi decided to return to Bethlehem, and Ruth, and her Israelite daughter-in-law (of Manasseh, Gad or Reuben) decided to return with her. Then both Naomi and Ruth left the jurisdiction of the Israelite judge in the land of Moab and came under the jurisdiction of the Israelite judge at Bethlehem.

Therefore, there wasn't a single drop of impure, heathen blood flowing in Yahshua Christ's veins! Not through Joseph's wife Asenath; not through Rahab of the scarlet-thread; and not through the Israelite lady, Ruth! I hope that some of the shortcomings of British-Israel are now becoming evident to the reader! British-Israel also makes the mistake of designating the Germans as Assyrians, whereas the greater part of the Germanic tribes were from the tribe of Judah. (End of quote.) I would also like the reader to realize that Dan Gayman didn't address these Biblical personalities in this context.

I would like to impress upon the reader's mind that all such confusion concerning these and other key Biblical personalities wrecks havoc with Holy Covenant doctrine! Add to this confusion the doctrine of a trinity and we have absolute chaos, for we are forced to take a position that the Son is going to marry His Father's wife! I spelled out in very clear language and backed it up with Scripture after Scripture, in *WTL* lesson #139, that there is but one God! Yet there is already a movement under way in Israel Identity attempting to debunk everything that I established in that lesson. The story of Yahweh's courtship, marriage, honeymoon, estrangement, divorce, reconciliation, and remarriage to the twelve tribes of Israel is so simple that even a third grader should understand it! What a third grader is unable to grasp is when this kind of event occurs with his own father and mother. Such a child is usually traumatized for life! Yet, those holding the theory of a trinity would have us believe that it would be satisfactory if the third grader's older brother would marry his mother, taking the father's place in the family! In spite of this, this is exactly what the trinitarians are accusing the Almighty of doing!

The idea of a trinity is entirely contrary to Yahweh's Word, as Hosea 2:7 specifically states: "**And she [the twelve tribes of Israel] shall follow after her lovers, but she shall not overtake them; and she shall seek them, but shall not find them: then shall she say, I will go and return to my first husband; for then was it better with me than now.**" Therefore, if the Father and Son are separate persons, Israel must return to the Father and not marry the Son! But inasmuch as the Father and the Son are one and the same person, there is no difficulty. Either this is correct, or Hosea is lying by prophesying at 2:7: "... **I will go and return to my first husband ...**"! By the trinitarians demanding separate persons, they are entirely removing Christ from the picture! And by removing Christ (who is one with Yahweh), they are also rejecting Him! And, by removing Christ from the picture, the trinitarians are wittingly or unwittingly

joining the crowd who cried “... **His blood be on us, and on our children.**” When I state all of this, I include myself, as I used to strongly believe in a trinity which I am now ashamed to admit!

Not only does a trinity pervert *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told*, but also the theory of “original sin” (or sin nature), which I will address in future issues of *Watchman’s Teaching Letters*. If the premise of “original sin” is true, then Christ Himself was polluted with it, which Scripture does not support, but quite the opposite!