

THE BOOK OF REVELATION

By: Bertrand L. Comparet

Lesson #13 Of A Series Of 14, Transcribed From Audio Tapes

Transcribed By:
Clifton A. Emahiser's
Teaching Ministries
1012 North Vine Street
Fostoria, OH 44830
Phone (419) 435-2836

[Unless in brackets, all of the message is by Bertrand L. Comparet.] The 16th chapter of the Book of Revelation describes the seven last plagues which lead to, and finally bring about, the final fall of what the book calls "great Babylon"; the Babylonian system which controls our entire civilization today – the Babylonian religious system – the Babylonian economic system – and the Babylonian political system. We saw, when we were studying the earlier portions of this book as to those things that occurred in approximately the first fifteen hundred years of the Christian era, we could very definitely identify these things because dates were given; two examples being in the cases of the first woe, the Saracen Arabs and the beginning of Mohammedanism, and the second woe, the Turks. That is to say, the number of years that each would last. Checking on history we found that it worked out exactly. When we come to these seven last plagues, though, we don't have any specified time periods for them, so that we don't have quite the degree of certainty in identifying them that we had on those others. On the other hand, a number of things seem to fit quite well, and the identification that many of the best scholars have given seems worth adopting. Revelation chapter 16, verses 1 and 2: "And I heard a great voice out of the temple saying to the seven angels, Go your ways, and pour out the vials of the wrath of Yahweh upon the earth. And the first went, and poured out his vial upon the earth; and there fell a noisome and grievous sore upon the men which had the mark of the beast, and *upon* them which worshipped his image."

When we were studying this mark of the beast, we saw that the beast's characteristics are not simply religious, although that's part of it. The economic phase of it is perhaps predominant in our own time, but nevertheless you can't avoid the fact that there was the religious side of it too. As you know, I can't agree with the simple-minded view that was taken by most preachers until recently, that the only source of all evil was the Catholic "Church." Now I hold no affection for the Catholic "Church", because undoubtedly it has been penetrated and corrupted by the Jews, and they have in it a great deal of Babylonian paganism. It's been guilty of the murder of a good many millions of Protestants merely because they wouldn't go along with its corruption. But, there are a number of other evil things in addition to that, nevertheless, when it comes to this, there seems to be a good deal to the religious view of it. [See note #1 at end of lesson.]

There were a series of plagues, which seemed to fit the symbolism given here, which all fell upon Latin Europe, the area of the Catholic "Church" which, I might say, was well earned after all the murders of millions of Protestants. These other scholars I referred to, say this first vial poured out upon the earth was the French revolution. You'll remember, when we came to the third of the three woes, we saw that was Communism, which definitely began with the French revolution. Now the occupation by the French red troops fell heaviest on the Catholic Latin nations, France internally, from 1789 and leading up into the Napoleonic wars from 1793

on. Now while, of course, Napoleon did invade the German states and made his power felt there, yet you didn't have the degree of oppression that fell upon Spain, Portugal, Italy and Austria, which had been the principal places where the Catholic "Church" had done its worst. [See note #'s 2 & 3 at end of lesson.]

Then Revelation 16, verse 3: "And the second angel poured out his vial upon the sea; and it became as the blood of a dead *man*: and every living soul died in the sea."

We must remember, no part of the Book of Revelation can be taken literally, as this whole book is symbolic. You can't expect literally to see a curious animal; part bear, part leopard, part lion, with seven heads and ten horns running around anywhere. That was simply a thing shown in a vision, and so here he poured out his vial upon the sea and it became as the blood of a dead man, and every living soul died in the sea. We should remember that the pagan Roman Empire was succeeded by the papal Roman Empire, which is what it amounted to, because from (I think it was 754 A.D.) the pope was recognized as a temporal king, and while there were kings over the rest of these formerly Roman nations, the pope claimed the power to give them their crowns in the first place, or take them away if he chose, and he exercised that authority quite effectively.

This papal Roman Empire phase of the beast is being smashed. Hence, this vial of the wrath of Yahweh poured out upon the sea undoubtedly refers to the terrible naval warfare which covered that period of years. The British fleet destroyed the fleets of the Latin nations in Europe, and those were no small fleets. You remember the Spanish armada which tried to conquer England was the greatest navy that had ever been assembled up to that time. And by the time you get down into the Napoleonic period, the French fleet was also a big and impressive one. In 1793 the British fleet, under Lord Hood, destroyed most of the French fleet in a battle near Toulon. In 1794 Lord Hood again defeated what was left of the French fleet and succeeded in expelling the French from Corsica. In 1797 the British defeated, and pretty much destroyed, the Spanish fleet off Cape St. Vincent. In 1798 Lord Nelson destroyed a French fleet near the mouth of the Nile. You'll remember, Napoleon, in part of his dream of not just a European but a world empire, had invaded Egypt, and with that as a starting point – you see, he had a beachhead in Africa, and could then go right on into western Asia equally well. He was really having great success until the British destroyed his fleet, so he couldn't get any more supplies and he had to pull out after a considerable defeat. In 1805 Lord Nelson destroyed what was left of the French fleet at Trafalgar. With that, all the sea power of the Latin peoples was destroyed. "It had died", to use a figure of speech. [See note #4 at end of lesson.]

Thus, you had these bloody battles, and in those days it was very definitely bloody. The battles in recent times are where the ships stand off 15 miles apart and shell each other, or by means of aircraft carriers to bomb the other ships when they are a couple of hundred miles away. While they do damage and they're bloody, in those days the sailing ships would come up close together, where they were firing their cannon into each other at about half a block distance. Then they would try to come right up close together so that they could throw grappling irons over into the other ship and hold them together while the soldiers went aboard and fought right on the decks of the ship, trying to capture the other ship that way, and the bloodshed was terrific.

As it says, "the sea became like the blood of a dead man", and every living soul of the Latin fleet was swept off the sea. Then, Revelation 16, verse 4: "And the third angel poured out his vial upon the rivers and fountains of waters; and they became blood." The next three verses give us a key to this, likewise: "I heard the angel of the waters say, Thou art righteous, O Yahweh, which art, and wast, and shalt be, because thou hast judged thus. For they have shed the blood of saints and prophets, and thou hast given them blood to drink; for they are worthy.

And I heard another out of the altar say, Even so, Yahweh Elohim Almighty, true and righteous are thy judgments.” Remember when we were getting on this early in the series, that on the breaking of the fifth seal, Revelation chapter 6, verses 9 to 11, which was part of the plagues on the Roman Empire, John said he heard the souls of the martyrs under the altar in the Temple asking Yahweh, “How long before you take vengeance for it?” Thus, here again you see this voice out of the altar, “even so, Yahweh Elohim, true and righteous are thy judgments.”

Therefore, that gives us a clue to this, because remember, the persecution of the Protestants took place in a considerable part in the valleys of the Po, the Danube and the Rhine rivers. The Napoleonic wars were especially fierce and horrible in Spain, Austria and north Italy. The valleys of these principal rivers, the Rhine, the Danube and the Po, were natural battle fields, and the bloodshed was terrific. It was in these same areas where much of the martyrdom of the Protestants had taken place; the Waldenses, the Albigenses, the Huguenots, the Vaudois, the Wycliffites, the Hussites, the Moravians and the Lutherans. Thus, these Catholic nations that had been guilty of all this were now given their own blood to drink. Hence, these things seem to fit pretty well. Let’s now read Revelation 16, verses 8 and 9: “And the fourth angel poured out his vial upon the sun; and power was given unto him to scorch men with fire. And men were scorched with great heat, and blasphemed the name of Yahweh, which hath power over these plagues: and they repented not to give him glory.”

We’ve previously seen the symbol of the sun used for imperial power. Remember, in Revelation 8, verse 12, where it said, “the third part of the sun was smitten”, and we saw that that symbolized the smashing of the power of a third of the Roman Empire? Likewise here, undoubtedly, imperial power again is what’s being referred to. Therefore, this may well refer to the power of the Napoleonic Empire to oppress practically all continental Europe. The angel poured out the vial upon the sun, and power was given him to scorch men with fire. Truly, it was a terrific thing, not only by the heavy taxation to support the empire, but the drafting of the able bodied men into the Napoleonic armies.

The Napoleonic wars are said to have reduced the average height of the French people by approximately two inches. In other words, all the biggest and strongest of the men were drafted into the army and slaughtered, and thus they were not left to breed a strong people afterward. This went on, and the effect was really terrific. The occupied countries were ravaged and the people oppressed. But this again was largely the same area of Latin Europe; the Catholic portion of it. We should remember too, the timing of this necessarily comes within the period beginning with the French revolution, because this is simply a detailed exposition of the third woe, which is the Communist phase of it. Then, Revelation 16, verses 10 and 11: “And the fifth angel poured out his vial upon the throne of the beast; and his kingdom was full of darkness; and they gnawed their tongues for pain, And blasphemed the God of heaven because of their pains and their sores, and repented not of their deeds.”

Hence, the throne of the beast was, of course, Rome and the Papal States in Italy. In 1798 the French captured Rome, took the pope prisoner to France, and he was held prisoner by Napoleon until the power of Napoleon was finally broken. In 1848 an Italian revolution drove the pope into flight for his life, and he had to stay well out of Rome for several months before the rebellion was finally put down by French, Spanish and Austrian troops who were sent there to restore the pope to his power. In 1860 the Sardinians, under Victor Emmanuel II, invaded the Papal States and defeated the papal army. They didn’t, however, succeed in completely breaking his power at that time. He was still a temporal king ruling over about a third of the whole peninsula of Italy. Then in 1870 a successful Italian revolution led by Garibaldi set up a United Italian kingdom under Victor Emmanuel II as king, and they captured all the Papal States and took them away from the Pope and made them part of the new Kingdom of Italy. The

Pope lost everything except the Vatican city, just slightly under a hundred and ten acres in size. Now during all this period the Pope refused to see any of this as a judgment on his apostasy. Throughout all these troubles he continued praying to various saints and to Mary for their protection, not to Yahweh. The Catholic theory is: that Yahweh isn't good enough to protect you unless you have an insider at court, a five percenter working in your behalf, so you pray to some saint to get you the favors that Yahweh isn't good enough to give you on His own. That's what they continued to do. And remember, they blasphemed the Mighty One of Heaven, and it positively fits here. Thus, the Pope, who had been recognized as a temporal king from 764 A.D. to 1870, lost his kingdom. [See note #5 at end of lesson.]

When now you come to the sixth of these vials, you get into something that isn't entirely over yet. It covers a period of centuries and it's leading into a situation that we see developing. Revelation 16, verses 12 to 16: "And the sixth angel poured out his vial upon the great river Euphrates; and the water thereof was dried up, that the way of the kings who are from the rising of the sun may be made ready." (Your *King James Version* says, 'the way of the kings of the east', but the literal Greek is 'the kings who are from the rising of the sun may be made ready.') 'And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs *come* out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. For they are the spirits of devils, working miracles, *which* go forth unto the kings of the earth and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of Yahweh Almighty.' (Now that is the thing we're facing before long, 'this battle of that great day of Yahweh Almighty.' Then verse 15 is thrown in here as a parenthesis, a break in the thought): 'Behold, I come as a thief. Blessed *is* he that watcheth, and keepeth his garments, lest he walk naked, and they see his shame.' (And then you get back to the main thought.): 'And he gathered them together into a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon.'" [See note #6 at end of lesson.]

It is an historical fact that the Turks made their first appearance in history in the upper stretches of the Euphrates river. They had migrated out of central Asia, but here they come in contact with the western peoples, of whom historians kept a record. Hence, from the time the Turks came into western Asia through the headwaters of the Euphrates, they held all that area until World War I, and at that time, their hold on all but Asia Minor, modern Turkey, was broken. All the rest was taken out of their power. You'll remember the British mandate over Iraq and Palestine and so forth. Thus, this is the drying up of the Euphrates, as long as you had the whole area there in the grip of one nation. Turkey had been losing its former power and influence, and even to this day the Turks are tough fighters. Remember, the Turks sent a few troops into the Korean war, and they were thoroughly tough and effective fighters. Then, you notice that while the Russians have fired on our ships and shot down our airplanes and that sort of thing, even today they don't fire a shot against the Turks because the Turks would shoot back. They wouldn't whine and whimper, and say "that's a terrible thing." But we're too cowardly and only express a few words of mild resentment. [See note #7 at end of lesson.]

Therefore, breaking the power of Turkey all through this Euphrates area, no doubt is this drying up of the river Euphrates; "that the way of the kings who were from the rising of the sun may be made ready." Now "kings", that's in the plural. There are two major Far Eastern powers; Russia and China. The one Far Eastern power, Russia, we know is going to come through that very area that's been vacated by the Turks. It's quite clear from the 38th and 39th chapters of Ezekiel that one prong of the Russian pincers will move down through Iraq, east of Turkey, where they don't have to either fight the Turkish army or have the troublesome job of trying to cross the Turkish mountains. They're going to go down into Iraq, then swing westward into Syria, and from Syria on down into the Palestinian coast and link up with Egypt. They have flat country, easy to march through in most cases, and it's the way that it's coming in. But that's

only one nation, and this says the “kings”, (plural), who are from the rising of the sun. So, what about China now? Is China going to be contented to see Russia take everything to the west? Already China has a more effective hold in Africa than Russia. The Russians haven't done too well, and they're attempting to take over the various African governments. Egypt, yes; but among the various black nations you'll find that most of that work has been done by Red China. [See note #8 at end of lesson.]

Now, of course, China wants to take southeast Asia because it produces a lot of rice, which China needs to feed her own people, no question of that. But, outside of that, it's a poverty-stricken area. It has no manufacturing facilities. The only thing it can do that is of any use to China is to furnish rice. The rest of the world that China would like to steal; all that lies to the west, Russia is out for that. But is China going to be contented to be left behind? Secondly, if the western world really stiffens its spine and gets ready to defend itself against the Russian attack, is Russia going to ask for the manpower of China? After all, if they can use several hundred million Chinese to furnish the human seed to swamp us, it saves getting that many Russians killed. Not that they mind Russians being killed, but they want to save enough to be in command of the situation when it's over. So there are those possibilities. Again, we know that Russia has been debating whether to use some of Russia's rockets with atomic warheads to wipe out the Chinese atomic energy set-up which is in Sinkiang Province, and it's only a short distance from Russia. They could easily enough drop a dozen rockets on it there and wipe it out. [See note #9 at end of lesson.]

They'd have nothing to fear from China because the Chinese are not armed well enough to fight the Russian armies effectively, and they might be able to take command and bring the Chinese to heel so that the Chinese, not even as willing participants, would be part of that attack. It's interesting to note, by the way, Ezekiel says that these forces are those of Gog and Magog. In our Bibles that are translated out of the Hebrew and out of the Greek, no attempt has been made to identify those powers. They simply transliterated the names into English letters. But I was looking in the *Lamsa Aramaic* translation of the New Testament, and remember that the Christian “Church” in Syria has had the Bible in Aramaic from the beginning. They haven't had to translate it into Greek and into Latin, and then into Aramaic to get it. They had it there to begin with, and they have missed therefore some of the successive mistranslations, because every time you translate something into another language, you're bound to lose a certain amount of the accuracy of the meaning. Translating it into English out of the Aramaic, he identifies Gog and Magog as China and Mongolia. Hence, “that the way of the kings from the rising of the sun may be made ready.” [See note #10 at end of lesson.]

It begins to look as though getting the Turkish Empire out of the way is preparing for the kings (in the plural) from the rising of the sun to come through that area. Now those three unclean spirits, like frogs, again symbolic, of course – most scholars today say this represents Communism, Fascism and Nazism. There is no doubt that Communism is an unclean spirit; no possible question of that. Now Communism was the original unclean spirit here, and both Fascism in Italy and Nazism in Germany were brought into being specifically by the necessity to organize and defend against Communism. They were both 100% good in their origin. I make no apology for an appreciation of the merits of the original Fascism [See note #11 at end of lesson.]

[Transcriber's Note: At this point in Comparet's presentation, he speaks of a certain person which I have never heard of, nor do I know how to spell his name. If it were spelled something like it sounds, I would make a guess of “Dr. Giuliotti .” This person seems to have been from La Mesa, California, and evidently familiar with Fascism and Nazism. If you have ever read any of the works of Eustace Mullins, then you might be aware that Mullins speaks

positively of Fascism as he describes the original historical concept of the idea. On the other hand, my understanding of Nazism as National Socialism is but another form of Communism. But I shall limit my comment on this and get back to Bertrand L. Comparet, and for want of a correct spelling for Comparet's friend, I shall refer to him as "Dr. Giuliotti."]

.... Some of you have heard of Dr. Giuliotti – I believe he is still alive, out in La Mesa. Now I've heard Dr. Giuliotti talk, way back before the war, in the 1930s, and he'd been back and forth to Italy fairly frequently and was well acquainted with what was going on back there. He was telling what he knew about it personally. And during the period leading up to the rise of Fascism under Mussolini, the government was acting the way our government is now. It was backing down before the rioters. Soldiers were not allowed out of their barracks to go into the towns in uniform because they said that angered the Communists and caused them to riot, and they would attack the soldiers. The idea of shooting some of the rioters so that they wouldn't riot never occurred to any of their politicians any more than it does to ours now. In fact, it reached the point where even the police were taken out of uniform, and Dr. Giuliotti told of having personally seen the body of a dead policeman, murdered, lying in the street, and they'd put a placard on the body: "police meat, so many lira per kilo."

Now anything that rises in opposition to that, to take a firm hand and forcibly put down Communism is definitely good and it has my approval. The same thing was exactly true in Germany. No more loathsome source of lies ever existed than practically all of our newspapers today. They like to talk about Hitler and his bully boys terrorizing the inhabitants of Germany, and they are knowing, deliberate, willful liars because they know better. Even our newspapers back in those days – I remember this – back in the late 20s and early 30s, the Communists were getting so bold in Germany that when any of the more conservative parties tried to stage a public meeting or a public rally, they couldn't do so because the Communists came out in a big riot and attacked them and broke up the meeting. It finally became necessary for the conservative parties to organize their own defense forces because the weak and timid government wouldn't give them enough police protection so that they could hold a peaceful meeting. Thus, Hitler finally decided that if they had to be able to defend themselves to hold a meeting, that is what they'd do. He then organized some of the good, strong, young National Socialists, and they began cracking a few Communist heads with their clubs, and the rioting subsided. Now the origin of the German Nazi Party was 100% good, and beyond criticism. We need to take a look at what happened later. Mussolini was a vigorous man of action and he came to the front as a leader in the early days of Fascism – he became the head of it. But unfortunately Mussolini's judgment was far below his willingness and ability to effectively try to do something about it.

He was a vain man, and power went to his head and he began to dream of again setting up the old Roman Empire so he could ride a white horse in the parades as emperor in all his glory. Now the average Italian didn't want any part of it. American soldiers of Italian ancestry fought well in our army, but the people of Italy were asking that everybody just let them alone so they could live in peace. When he drafted them into his armies to go out and try to conquer all the Mediterranean nations for him to set up a new Roman Empire, their heart wasn't in it, and they did badly. You remember that the German Africa corps under Rommel almost captured Egypt. In fact, they would have if the stupidity and incompetence of the Italians hadn't let them down so badly. It was up to the Italians to furnish the shipping to get sufficient supplies across the Mediterranean for Rommel's Africa corps. Well, it was partly stupidity and incompetence, and it was partly jealousy that they would rather lose the war than have the German Rommel win it. The Italian forces, to the extent that they went up against the British, failed miserably. They were whipped completely. Rommel never tried to depend upon them for

any fighting because they always came out second best. But when Rommel's drive toward Egypt was at its height, and finally hadn't even been stopped for lack of supplies, Mussolini actually came over into North Africa, complete with a white horse, and he was going to follow the German drive into Egypt and ride his white horse as emperor in the victory parade. You remember the Roman emperors always rode on a white horse.

Mussolini also sent the Italian army into Greece, and the Germans had to stop the Greeks from taking Rome, for that's what it would have amounted to. The Italian army was routed till they fled in terror, and the Germans finally had to go over and defeat the Greeks to save Mussolini from losing Italy. Thus, the thing fizzled when it became merely the tail to Mussolini's kite, and Fascism can be condemned for what it finally became. Now in Germany, as I say, the rise of the Nazi party was 100% a good and a necessary thing, and Hitler is entitled to credit for what he did to bring it into political power. Hitler destroyed his own nation, which cannot possibly be explained as anything but one of two things; either deliberate treason or definite insanity. The general staff of the German army was the most competent army command that we have ever seen. If Hitler had kept his hands off, Germany would have won the war; no question of that. [See note #12 at end of lesson.]

The only possibility they had of wrecking the power of England so that their western flank could be secure was through their submarine navy, because their navy of surface ships was definitely not big enough to be a match for England's. At the outbreak of the war, Germany had 65 submarines capable of going out to sea, and some of those were just training ships. Never, at any time during the war, did Germany have more than 100 submarines in operating condition at the same time, and she pretty nearly whipped the world. And she would have if Hitler had not interfered – he was always getting a bright idea he was going to put the entire manpower of the nation to work on this or that, and he would not allow them to have the strength of workmen at the shipyards to turn out submarines as fast as the navy needed them, so he definitely crippled his submarine force to the point where the naval victory was finally lost. But there was a substantial period of time where they pretty nearly brought England to her knees, and had England not been available to us as a staging area, we couldn't have put an American army in Europe. It was Hitler's blunder that lost Germany that advantage. [Again, see note #12 at end of lesson.]

Hitler's military experience had been that he was a very undistinguished corporal in World War I. The limit of his military competency might be to command a squad of eight men, which is not exactly a high recommendation. But any competent corporal in any army in the world knows this, that there are times when everything is in your favor and you can advance and drive the enemy before you, and there are times when you run into too much opposition and you are caught out in the open under unfavorable conditions, and the enemy is just going to massacre you if you stay there. Therefore, any competent military man, even a corporal, knows that at such times you may have to retreat to get to more favorable terrain where you can organize for a successful defense.

Hitler then sent the German armies into Russia. You'll remember he lost an enormous number of them in the siege of Stalingrad. Stalingrad was not an extra important place except for the prestige of the town, for it was named after Stalin, and Hitler wanted to take it. But by circumstance the Russians definitely had the advantage there. In the first place, the city was practically all made up of modern concrete buildings, and the more the German artillery battered and smashed these buildings – they couldn't burn them up – and the more the German aircraft bombed them, these chunks of concrete wreckage piled up, simply affording a vast number of spaces in-between these blocks of concrete where Russian soldiers could take shelter, and from that vantage point fire back at the German troops. In other words, the more

you smashed it, the stronger a fortress it became. Secondly, the German armies were not equipped in any way for the intense cold of the Russian winters.

The Germans have always been producers of beautifully made machinery, finely fitted, and that was true. The engines of their aircraft and their tanks and that sort of thing were beautifully made and closely fitted, and during normal weather conditions they operated perfectly. But under the intense cold of Russia, you couldn't make that kind of machinery operate. It needed to be more loosely fitted so that the oil didn't simply freeze up in a small, very tightly fitted space and just halt that engine to a standstill so they couldn't get it started. They had endless trouble when winter came; unable to start the engines in their tanks; unable to start the engines in their aircraft. But Hitler wouldn't let them retreat.

They were losing men at a frightful rate and Germany couldn't stand that loss of men. Furthermore, (largely due to American equipment sent them) the Russians counterattacked, and they finally succeeded in completely encircling the German army which was trying to take Stalingrad. The military knew, and they warned Hitler, they could not possibly hold out under these adverse conditions and with the enormous Russian armies coming at them. The only thing they could do to save the army from total destruction was to retreat, go back farther into Europe where they could fight under normal conditions, where they were close enough to home that their own supplies of fuel and ammunition could be kept up, and where they would have a chance to successfully fight these enormous Russian armies. But Hitler always refused to allow it. He said "every single man must die in his place rather than retreat one yard", and most of them did die in their place in an absolutely futile sacrifice, as they had no possibility whatsoever of success, all because he was either a traitor bent on the destruction of his own country, or a mad man not allowing his competent generals to fight a victorious war. [See note #13 at end of lesson.]

One more thing where he interfered: The Germans had jet fighter planes in operation the entire last year of the war. Now our best fighter planes, at that time, were capable, for a very brief burst of speed, of getting about 400 miles per hour. You'll remember, the British started bombing Germany only at night because it was too difficult for the German night fighters to effectively attack the British bomber fleets, and it was not until the end of the war that the German radar was good enough for the night fighter airplanes to locate the British bombers. Then, the United States started day bombing. Our bombers were very heavily armed with machine guns all over with which to fight off attacking planes, and we shot down a lot of the German fighter planes that attacked us. But just the same, our losses were so heavy we had to discontinue it. The Germans did lose a lot of their fighter planes, but they were able to stop us. Hence, American bombing raids trickled off to next to nothing until we got enough long range fighter planes operating from fields in France that they could follow our bomber planes all the way to their destination. Then, our fighter planes fought off the German fighter planes, and our bombers could operate with very little interference.

The thing that actually defeated Germany was the bombing, because we destroyed their means of production of the weapons for defense. We bombed out their factories until aircraft production fell off so badly that they no longer could maintain effective air fleets. We bombed out their oil refineries until they were not able to produce enough fuel to keep their armies and their air fleets in operation. We bombed their railroads until they couldn't distribute fuel and ammunition, or even food. There was a lot of hunger in Germany during the last part of the war because they couldn't get their food distributed to the civilian population because the railroads had simply been bombed out of operation. The only thing that could have prevented that was fighter planes to shoot down the bombers. As I say, for the whole last year of the war, Germany had jet fighters, and there were no other fighter planes in the world, no other airplanes of any

character in the world, with jet engines. Germany led the rest of the world by well over a year, and she had hundreds of these twin jet fighter planes. They were capable of sustained flight at 550 miles per hour, a good 150 miles an hour faster than the fastest fighter we had. In other words, we had no fighter plane which could ever get near enough to one of these German jet fighters to fire an effective shot at it. They could have swept in and shot down our bombers wholesale and put an end to our bombing if they'd been allowed to use them as fighter planes. But Hitler personally prevented it. His mania was: No defense – defense is weakness – rather, attack, we must have bombers. Therefore, these jet fighter planes must be used as attack bombers. [See note #14 at end of lesson.]

They could only carry small bombs, and the centers of allied weapons production and all that, were far beyond reach of any of the German aircraft. Thus, with their small bombs these jet fighters were sent out to bomb the soldiers on the front lines. But for that purpose, as an attack bomber, their speed was too high. They weren't as effective as other planes that he had. They were being totally wasted, and it was a weapon which would have won the war for Germany had Hitler not personally prevented their use. Therefore, they were entirely wasted. Hitler's mania or treason, whatever it was, prevented Germany from winning the war with their submarines; prevented Germany from winning the war with their jet fighter planes; and threw away the cream of German manhood in fighting against the Russians, for he would not allow them to operate under the skilled command of very competent officers. Now Hitler's origin is definitely mysterious, as one can't trace his ancestors with any certainty. There have been reports that his ancestry was Jewish, at least in part; I don't know. Authentic evidence simply is not available. He could have been a plant – there is an article – I still have a note that I made on it, I think it is an American magazine, back about 1940 by a wealthy German-Jew industrialist, Fritz Thyssen, T-h-y-s-s-e-m. He entitled his article *I Made A Mistake When I Backed Hitler*, and he gives the authentic story of how he and a few other wealthy Jews bankrolled Hitler in the early stages and financed him into power. Now if Hitler was the fire breathing Jew destroyer that we've been told he was, how come the Jews put him in power? [See note #15 at end of lesson.]

[At this point there is a discussion from the audience about a Rothschild connection and mentions another person possibly connecting the Jews with financially backing Hitler. I could not make out the person's name. Now back to Bertrand L. Comparet]: Yes, the whole thing fits as part of the picture. World War I was an attempt to destroy the strong Christian barrier to the westward march of the great masses of Asia. They attempted to destroy Germany and the Austro-Hungarian Empire. They managed to break up the Austro-Hungarian Empire, and it stayed broken up, but the Germany that they'd thought they'd destroyed came back. Then World War II, like World War I, was the same Jewish attempt to destroy the defense of Europe so that the white Christian civilization could be overrun by the great masses of Asia under Jewish control. [See note #16 at end of lesson.]

Therefore, at its end, Nazism, like Fascism, probably came to be something of an unclean spirit. Hence, probably that explanation of it is reasonably accurate. You'll notice in the middle of this passage we have that little parenthetical verse, Revelation 16, verse 15, "Behold, I come as a thief"; warning us that these events are taking place very shortly before the return of Yahshua the Christ. Again it points out that this surely has to be during this period of the last days, and it tends to confirm that analysis of it. Then reading Revelation chapter 16, verse 16: "And he gathered them together into a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon."

There's been some dispute whether this originally referred to Mount Megiddo or the Valley of Megiddo. Now Palestine is a mountainous country, although they cannot be compared to the Rocky Mountains which we're accustomed to, but at least it was mountainous enough

that the valleys and passes through the mountains were important. There was a great deal of traffic back and forth between Egypt and Babylonia and Assyria, commercial traffic and armies going back and forth as Egypt tried to conquer Babylon, or Babylon tried to conquer Egypt. In those days the armies from Egypt would follow the coast up to where they could turn inland through this pass, the valley of Megiddo, and cross over through the mountain range and march from there eastward into Babylon. The westward marching armies of Babylon and Assyria, of course, came through this same pass from the east and then went down the coastal plain to the south. Mount Megiddo was a fortified stronghold. The valley or plain below it was the site of many decisive battles that were fought there. It's too small for huge, modern armies with their need of a great deal of room to maneuver. And again, who is it that gathered together there in a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon? Consequently, we can't be too sure whether this is some of the enemy kings of the whole world gathered together for the battle of the great day of Yahweh Almighty, or whether it's some of our forces. [See note #17 at end of lesson.]

Then Revelation 16, verses 17 to 21: "And the seventh angel poured out his vial into the air; and there came a great voice out of the temple of heaven, from the throne, saying, It is done. And there were voices, and thunders, and lightnings; and there was a great earthquake, such as was not since men were upon the earth, so mighty an earthquake, *and* so great. And the great city (That, of course, would be the symbolic Babylon, or the Babylonian system.) – the city was divided into three parts, and the cities of the nations fell: and great Babylon came in remembrance before Yahweh, to give unto her the cup of the wine of the fierceness of his wrath. And every island fled away, and the mountains were not found. And there fell upon men a great hail out of heaven, *every stone* about the weight of a talent: and men blasphemed Yahweh because of the plague of the hail; for the plague thereof was exceeding great."

Consequently, after the beginning of this last vial of Communism – and we see that that has been explained in detail in these seven last vials, all of them having their origin in Communism – we come now to the final conclusion of it. This vial alone is in the air. Now from the beginning – and this was also true of the woes – these are not single incidents, but these are processes. They therefore take up a considerable period of time. World War I began with the hail of bombs out of the sky. World War II perfected it. Here it says "every stone about a talent's weight." They had two weight systems in common use in the ancient world, a light and a heavy. By the light standard, a talent was about 65 pounds. The heavy standard had the talent of double that, or a hundred and thirty pounds of weight. Relatively speaking, the bombing of World War I, most of it was in bombs of around a hundred to a hundred-fifty pound size. And, you'll remember, the most destructive bombing of World War II was incendiary bombing. The incendiary bombs were relatively small and of light weight. "And there were voices and thunders and lightnings" – well, the voices would be the political disputes leading to war. Thunders and lightnings would be warfare. As for the earthquake, remember all this is symbolic rather than literal, it is a shaking down of civil institutions by Communism and anarchy, and we've been seeing that going on.

Look how many nations have been destroyed by it already. "Every island fled away" – Islands used to be very secure fortresses. The sea was the best moat and wall of defense that you could have. But I remember seeing (during World War II, the early stages of it) a photograph of a German bombing plane, and the pilot had painted along the side of his plane, which was going on bombing flights over England, 'There Are No More Islands.' ... "And the mountains were not found" – The mountains, we know, always symbolize kingdoms. Hence, nations had disappeared into the common anarchy. This picture is a process, and we've seen it develop; we've seen it going on. We see the rest of it just a short time away. We can be fairly sure that when Russia's attack, as given in the 38th and 39th chapters of Ezekiel begins,

Russia is going to try to cripple the power of the free nations to resist, by sabotage, anarchy, and confusion stirred up within our nation, among others. Therefore, this pretty well gives us a picture of what is going to lead up to that final attack, which is going to be brought to an end by the return of Yahshua the Christ leading His armies of heaven.

All this continues right up to the actual return of Yahshua the Christ. Reading from Revelation 19, verses 1 through 8: “And after these things I heard a great voice of much people in heaven, saying, Alleluia; Salvation, and glory, and honour, and power, unto Yahweh our Almighty: For true and righteous *are* his judgments: for he hath judged the great whore, which did corrupt the earth with her fornication, and hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand. And again they said, Alleluia. And her smoke rose up for ever and ever.”

By the way, in this matter of the punishment of the wicked, it's popular, you know, among a large group of “churchgoers” to say, “Oh well, the Bible doesn't mean it when it says that the condemned wicked are going to be punished permanently – it doesn't mean it – it just means there is just a little brief period of punishment; enough to show them they joined the wrong side, and it would be more profitable now to come over to our side – and as soon as they do that they'll be received with open arms and they'll get out of their punishment.” Of course, like most of the things taught in the “churches”, that's a satanic lie, because it speaks of the punishment in the lake of fire as being – your *King James Version* says, “for ever and ever.” It's interesting to note in the Greek the phraseology. There are several expressions that have been translated “eternal” or “for ever”, and some don't deserve that translation; but this one does. One of them is: “to the age”, meaning, of course, “to the end of the age.” But we've already gone through several ages up to now, and so “to the age”, while it implies a long period of at least many centuries, doesn't necessarily imply complete permanence. But sometimes in the Greek they say “to the ages of the ages”, and that really does imply eternal permanence, and it's the strongest expression used. Where it speaks about the eternal salvation of those who are saved, the same Greek expression is used, “to the ages of the ages.” So if the punishment of the wicked to “the ages of the ages” is only temporary, the good fortune of the saved isn't going to be any longer, because that also is only “to the ages of the ages.”

Therefore, I guess we better conclude that it actually means what it says about permanence. We're going on here with Revelation 19: “And the four and twenty elders and the four beasts fell down and worshipped Yahweh that sat on the throne, saying, Amen; Alleluia. And a voice came out of the throne, saying, Praise our God, all ye his servants, and ye that fear him, both small and great. And I heard as it were the voice of a great multitude, and as the voice of many waters, and as the voice of mighty thunderings, saying, Alleluia: for Yahweh God omnipotent reigneth. Let us be glad and rejoice, and give honour to him: for the marriage of the Lamb is come, and his wife hath made herself ready. And to her was granted that she should be arrayed in fine linen, clean and white: for the fine linen is the righteousness of saints.”

Well now, the “Lamb's wife” – Practically all the “churches” are wrong as usual, for they say: “The ‘church’ is the bride of Christ.” Had they ever read their Bible, they couldn't make a stupid mistake like that, because you're told in at least three places that the “church” is the body of Christ, and no man marries his own body. 1st Corinthians 12, verse 27, speaking to the “Church”: “Now ye are the body of Christ, and members in particular.” Ephesians 5, verse 30: “For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones.” To make it unmistakably clear, Colossians 1, verse 18: “And he is the head of the body, the ‘church’ ... ” – you can't put it any plainer. Therefore, speaking of Christ, He is the head of the body (“the church”), who is the beginning, the firstborn from the dead, that in all things He might have the preeminence. The bride of Christ is still Yahweh's people, Israel. Take for example Isaiah 49, verses 14 to 18, written in 712 B.C., after the entire northern kingdom of Israel and a considerable part of the

southern kingdom of Judah had all been deported by the Assyrians into the Assyrian captivity, from which they never went back to Palestine. "But Zion said, Yahweh hath forsaken me, and my Master hath forgotten me. Can a woman forget her sucking child, that she should not have compassion on the son of her womb? yea, they may forget, yet will I not forget thee. Behold, I have graven thee upon the palms of *my* hands; thy walls *are* continually before me. Thy children shall make haste; thy destroyers and they that made thee waste shall go forth of thee. Lift up thine eyes round about, and behold: all these gather themselves together, *and* come to thee. As I live, saith Yahweh, thou shalt surely clothe thee with them all, as with an ornament, and bind them *on thee*, as a bride *doeth*." [See note #18 at end of lesson.]

Isaiah 62, verses 4 and 5: "Thou shalt no more be termed Forsaken; neither shall thy land any more be termed Desolate: but thou shalt be called Hephzibah (means 'my delight in her'), and thy land Beulah (which means 'married'): for Yahweh delighteth in thee, and thy land shall be married ... and as the bridegroom rejoices over the bride, so shall thy Elohim rejoice over thee." Again in Hosea, Hosea 1, verses 9 and 10: "Then said *Yahweh*, Call his name Loammi (meaning 'not my people'): for ye *are* not my people, and I will not be your *Elohim*. Yet the number of the children of Israel shall be as the sand of the sea, which cannot be measured nor numbered; and it shall come to pass, *that* in the place where it was said unto them, Ye *are* not my people, *there* it shall be said unto them, Ye *are* the sons of the living El." And in the 2nd chapter of Hosea, verses 1, 2, 4 and 11: "Say ye unto your brethren, Ammi ('my people'); and to your sisters, Ruhamah (meaning 'having obtained mercy'). Plead with your mother, plead: for she *is* not my wife, neither *am* I her husband: let her therefore put away her whoredoms out of her sight, and her adulteries from between her breasts ... And I will not have mercy upon her children; for they *be* the children of whoredoms ... I will also cause all her mirth to cease, her feast days, her new moons, and her sabbaths, and all her solemn feasts."

So, that's prophesying of the troubles that came upon them in the two captivities. But, look at how it continues at verse 14: "Therefore, behold, I will allure her, and bring her into the wilderness, and speak comfortably unto her." You'll note that most of the area of Europe they went into, up the Danube valley and up into Germany and the Scandinavian countries, was wilderness when they went there. Then continuing with verses 14-16: "I will allure her, and bring her into the wilderness, and speak comfortably unto her. And I will give her her vineyards from thence, and the valley of Achor (trouble) for a door of hope: and she shall sing there, as in the days of her youth, and as in the day when she came up out of the land of Egypt. And it shall be at that day (Now wherever you see the expression 'at that day', it is practically always referring to the great day of Yahweh Almighty) – And it shall be at that day, saith Yahweh, *that* thou shalt call me Ishi (meaning 'my husband'); and shalt call me no more Baali (plural meaning 'my Lords')." Remember, I've pointed out to you before, that in calling Yahweh "Lord", we're really calling Him the same thing as Baal, the pagan idol, and that's prophesied to be dropped.

Continuing with verses 19, 20 and 23: "And I will betroth thee unto me for ever; yea, I will betroth thee unto me in righteousness, and in judgment, and in loving-kindness, and in mercies. I will even betroth thee unto me in faithfulness: and thou shalt know Yahweh ... And I will sow her unto me in the earth; and I will have mercy upon her that had not obtained mercy; and I will say to *them which were* not my people, Thou *art* my people; and they shall say, *Thou art* my Elohim."

Therefore, we can pretty well say that this final period is shown in the Book of Revelation, both as the third woe and then in greater detail as the seven last vials. They lead right up through this period of Jewish organized and directed Communism, to the point where it finally brings the whole world into the destruction of everything that constitutes civilization, and we finally decide that instead of somebody from the Institute of Pacific Relations being the one

who's going to save us from it, we better call on Yahweh to do it. Now you can see how far advanced we are into that period. All that remains is the Russian attack with the concurrent revolutions and anarchy in the nations of the free world. That's the sort of thing that can't go on indefinitely, for everything would be destroyed. That could break out at any time, so we're pretty close to the end. That's all we can look for as the signs of what's happening until the end, which climaxes with the return of Yahshua the Christ. Now this intermediate period is going to be rough. Remember, there are several places in the Bible where it says that, in this process, those slain by Yahweh Elohim will just cover the earth from one end to the other.

Now we'll have two phases of that. Part of those killed by the merciless Communist hordes are actually those who are being slain as part of Yahweh's judgment. Remember, He's done that before. He did it at times when the Philistines conquered and ruled Israel. He did it with the Assyrians. He did it with the Babylonians, and the loss of life was tremendous. But it was His judgment upon them, cleaning out the evil elements that would prevent His people from ever reforming. Now to the extent that the Commies cause some destruction and loss of life here, it will be part of that process, but I would say that our own nation, like the Russians, are also going to undergo terrific and massive destruction by Yahweh's own weapons.

It's impossible to make a good nation out of what we have in the United States today, as there are too many discordant and evil elements in it. As long as you have them here, as long as you have them living, the United States can never be anything but the divided and weakened thing that it is today, and they'll have to be removed. You remember that in the parable of the tares among the wheat, Yahshua the Christ illustrated this same process. He said that "the tares (these poisonous weeds among the wheat) were the children of the evil one", and we know who they are. And He said that at the time of the harvest, which He explained as the end of the age, "the reapers, who were the angels, would pull up the tares and gather them in bundles to be burned, and then gather the real wheat into His barns."

Thus, you're going to have to have a process of removal, swift and total, which the angels of Yahweh are going to do, as well as the devils of Russia and China. What's left is going to be a purified nation which will turn back to Yahweh. [At this time there are some comments from a person in the audience. Part of what this person said was]:

"It's come to the fore this past year, the Black Panthers and their allies are referring to the establishment in this country as Babylon. They (the Black Panthers) were speaking of burning Babylon, and if you remember, in the Bible it says 'the beast shall hate the whore, and burn their flesh with fire.' And all these people weeping and wailing about the burning of this vile Bank of America. It's my own business, but I couldn't care less if they'd burn every bank in the country, if that's the cost of deliverance." [Comparet's answer]: It would, no question. Well, that's a very interesting point that you've raised, because it again shows us where we are. [Back to the man in the audience]: "It's interesting, as the Black Panthers use the beast as their symbol, and this vile establishment that runs this country, and it is vile, and I don't care how you express it, it's a vile criminal conspiracy."

[Now back to Bertrand L. Comparet]: Well, it certainly helps to complete the picture. So we can see that it has, all through the Book of Revelation, everything portrayed there in symbolism. Once you know the key to the symbolism, you see that it was history pre-written, and correctly pre-written because it has come to pass. Now when Yahweh has called His shots and made good on 99% so far, we don't have to worry about the remaining 1%. That's going to work out at the appointed time just as well as the others did. [End of Comparet's Lesson #13.]

CRITICAL NOTES ON LESSON #13

Comments by William Finck initialed *W.R.F.*

Comments by Clifton A. Emahiser in brackets in lesson text as “your transcriber” or initialed *C.A.E.* in critical notes.

Note #1: It is evident that during the period of the power of the papacy (the second beast of Revelation 13), men had no choice but to worship the beast, or to die (Rev. 13:15-17), which we note fits the medieval “church” perfectly. Now, while the power of the “church” over the lives of men has eclipsed, the beast itself is of course still with us, and there are still men who worship it (Rev. 16:2). These, however, have continued to do so by their own will, voluntarily, as we have no indication that they are compelled to do so otherwise. Even today many such men are among us, or Babylon would already have fallen. *W.R.F.*

Note #2: At the end of the second woe, the Turkish conquest and the Reformation (Revelation 9:13 - 11:14), we are told only “the third woe cometh quickly”, and the “third woe” is not mentioned anywhere again. Revelation chapters 12, 13 and 14 are all three separate visions, each detached from the linear narrative of the seven trumpets, but of course each related to the general account. The seventh trumpet (Revelation 11:15-19) certainly is not fulfilled yet (1 Cor. 15:52), and so the description of the seven vials of wrath (Revelation chapters 15 - 16), already unfolding in history, must be what is meant by the third woe, culminating in the fall of Babylon and the end of the age (Revelation chapters 17 - 18). *W.R.F.*

Note #3: While Compaert’s (not incorrect) identification of “Communism” did fall heavily upon the “Latin” Catholic nations, especially France, Communism took a much greater toll upon the non-Catholic nations of Russia, the Baltic, Ukraine and Prussia (as part of Poland after World War II), though most of these regions were of the Greek Orthodox “church”, and equally idolatrous. *W.R.F.*

Note #4: While the Spanish Armada was indeed a grand navy by Medieval standards, containing by Compaert’s account 129 ships and 27,000 men (see Lesson #7, page 5), it would have been dwarfed by the Greek navy at Salamis, with nearly 400 ships and (by various accounts, but primarily that of Herodotus in his 8th book) 60,000 to 80,000 men, or the Persian navy it defeated, which was four or five times larger, along with other navies of the ancient world which Medieval Europe would have marveled at. Like the Spanish, the Greek ships held about 200 men each, including sailors and rowers, but the large penteconters (50-oared ships) many more. *W.R.F.*

Note #5: Although the popes may not have consolidated complete control over the kings of Europe, including the granting of crowns, until the 8th century, it is clear – especially reading Daniel 7 – that the beginning of such power in the papacy began with Justinian in the 6th century, and so the entire process, from the rise of the papacy to its fall, lasted 1260 years. *W.R.F.*

Note #6: While Compaert is correct in his “the rising of the sun” translation at Rev. 16:12, this was the standard way in which the Greeks signified “east”, and so there is nothing special in the language used here. *W.R.F.*

Note #7: Compaert interprets the “way of the kings of the east” and the “Euphrates” here quite literally. While it is true that the Turks crossed the Euphrates literally during the second woe, here we must, I believe, view the terms symbolically. After the Napoleonic period, the rise of the Ashkenazi-Mongol-Tartar-Russian Jew began, which people agitated in all of the nations of Europe, who were the primary promoters of anarchy and Communism in Europe throughout the 19th and 20th centuries, and who eventually ruled all of Russia and eastern Europe under

Communist governments, and who still dominate those nations today, albeit as “capitalists” now. In this I consider the fulfillment of Rev. 16:12.

While the Ashkenazi Jews and their Mongol-Tartar brethren have ruled the east primarily through Communism, they have by other means, including Communism, caused strife and division in the west, being promoters of universalism, secular humanism, evolution, and many other foul creeds. So it is surely no accident that we find “unclean frogs” coming out of the mouths of the beast, the dragon, and the false prophet, once the “way of the kings of the east” is prepared. *W.R.F.*

Note #8: Gog and Magog aren’t gathered for battle yet even now, and so they (the Russians and Chinese) are not the “kings of the east” of Revelation 16:12, for neither can they be, and Compaert is getting far ahead of himself here, where the unclean frogs have already gone out “unto the kings of the earth”, yet we await Armageddon, the culmination of the events described in Ezekiel 38 and 39. *W.R.F.*

Note #9: Compaert’s conjecture concerning Russo-Chinese relations here is quite distracting. I couldn’t care less what they might do to each other, and would feel fortunate if they destroyed each other completely. The concern is in what they shall endeavor to do to us – to the remnant of Israel in Europe and America. *W.R.F.*

Note #10: The Jews insist that the New Testament books were written originally in Aramaic, as does George Lamsa, himself an Arab, as they insist that Yahshua and His disciples spoke Aramaic primarily, and all this helps them to conceal their identity to the general public, and to perpetuate their lies. There is a preponderance of evidence in the New Testament itself that every book of it, including the epistle to the Hebrews, was originally penned in Greek. There is also a preponderance of evidence in Archaeology that – while Hebrew was spoken in Jerusalem at the time of Christ – Greek was the common language of Palestine. Even all of the coins of Herod and his successors contained Greek, and no Hebrew or Aramaic, inscriptions (*Literacy In The Time of Jesus*, in *Biblical Archaeology Review*, July-August 2003, p. 36), and most of the inscriptions of the period are in Greek, and no other language (*ibid.*, and also p. 25 of the same issue). Dozens of second and third century papyri have been found in Archaeology containing copies of the New Testament books in Greek, yet no such manuscripts have been found in Aramaic. The earliest Aramaic (Syriac) versions date to the 3rd to 4th centuries and are translated from Greek. (See the Introduction to Nestle-Aland’s *Novum Testamentum Graece*, 27th edition, pages 65-68). Aside from this, there is also a preponderance of evidence in the Greek language itself, and the variations which occur across all known ancient Greek copies, that Greek was the original language of the Gospel (and so surely Isaiah 28:11 was fulfilled) and not any other which these Greek manuscripts could have been translated from, and there is also the fact that so many quotes from the Old Testament are from the Greek of the *Septuagint*. It surprises me that Compaert has fallen for this Jewish deception.

Additionally, by “translating” the names “Gog” and “Magog” into “China” and “Mongolia”, Lamsa shows himself to be but a biased interpreter of Scripture, and not truly a translator, whether he be correct or not. *W.R.F.*

Note #11: The “unclean frogs” could not be “Communism, Fascism and Nazism” by any means. Revelation 16:13 says that these frogs come “out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet.” Now Stalin, Hitler and Mussolini were prominent figures, but hardly attained to the stature indicated herein. These frogs are, in verse 14, “the spirits of devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the earth and of the whole world, to gather them to battle of that great day of Yahweh Almighty.” Was World War II Armageddon? Then Compaert was correct in his identification, and we

should have had not another war. Of course, World War II was awesome in its magnitude, but we've had other wars, and Babylon has not fallen, and so Comparet's identification of the unclean frogs, after "most scholars today", is very misguided.

From the text of Revelation 16:14 we see that the unclean frogs are "spirits of devils", and so it is the Jews, who are devils, who bring them to us. The dragon, the beast and the false prophet espouse them – the political, economic and religious facets of the Babylonian system. They are adopted by all the kings (heads of government) of the world, and this causes the last great war, Armageddon to occur. What is it that the international financial community is (or has already been) forcing upon all the nations of the world? Secular humanism (which includes multi-culturalism and universalism, along with ecumenicalism), global capitalism, and democracy (including Socialism – which all the western "democracies" of the world have embraced). So if the unclean frogs are indeed "-isms", it is these "-isms" promoted by the Babylonian system today which they must be. Yet we will not – and can not – know for sure until we actually see the gathering of the nations to battle in that "great day of Yahweh Almighty." *W.R.F.*

Note #12: Adolph Hitler did not destroy Germany. If you repeatedly kick a dog and it bites you, don't blame the dog for its own death when you must shoot it. In truth, the Jews destroyed Germany, as they purposed as soon as they found that they could not control Germany as they did Russia, England, France and America, et al., upon Hitler's election in 1933. And while the Jews destroyed Germany, America and England were the lackeys which the Jews used to accomplish the task – destroying their own Saxon brethren in two world wars at the behest of Satan! Adolph Hitler was not suicidal, nor a lunatic, nor a tyrant, nor a micro-manager of Germany's armed forces, in spite of how badly the Jewish-controlled media and academia of the West, who Comparet clearly follows in his assessment of Hitler, attempts to paint him. I can only point to *The Barnes Review* (www.barnesreview.org, 909-587-6936) as a fairly reliable source of information concerning the history of World Wars I & II, especially concerning Nazi Germany, Adolph Hitler, and the so-called "Holocaust" story. *The Barnes Review* often reprints translations of Hitler's own speeches and articles by German historians and eye-witnesses to the events of the war, and paints a picture of all of these things which starkly contrasts to the picture found in the mainstream press, academia, and that painted by other Jewish flunkies.

Hitler did not build a navy to cripple England because Hitler's policy was to avoid war with England at all costs. Hitler appreciated England, her empire, saw a need for England's role in the world, and recognized Anglo-German kinship. Hitler only sought to assert Germany's position on the continent and to protect the German people from the Jews and from Communism, those two actually being synonymous. I would highly recommend reading *A Speech by the German Chancellor*, in *The Barnes Review*, January-February 2004, p. 66, in which "Hitler lays out the reasons he guided Germany along the path he chose in the 1930s and 1940s", and *Hitler's Declaration of War Against the United States*, Hitler's own speech of December 11, 1941, in *The Barnes Review*, May-June 2004, page 10. In both speeches Hitler identifies the Jews as the cause of much of the ills of western society.

If Hitler were guilty of any crime during his leadership of Germany, it was only that he attempted to separate the Wheat from the Tares before the time appointed by Yahweh Himself, as explained at Matthew 13:36-43. *W.R.F.*

Note #13: While Hitler's Russian strategy was not flawless, Hitler struck an offensive against Russia while not fully prepared, but which was necessary in order to pre-empt a planned invasion of Europe by Stalin (little heard about in the West) which, if Stalin had been allowed to launch, would have put all of Europe, and surely also England, into the hands of the

Soviets. Indeed, Hitler saved Germany, England and France, et al. from the Soviet hordes, only to have all of Eastern Europe turned over to the Soviets anyway, and by those very people Hitler saved! This is not even discussed by Western academia. Hitler struck Russia with an army perhaps one-fiftieth the size of the Soviets', and should not shoulder blame for Germany's defeat alone, since his generals made many mistakes also. See *Hitler's Russia Strategy*, in *The Barnes Review*, March-April 2003, p. 63, among other *Barnes Review* articles on this topic. *W.R.F.*

Note #14: Contrary to Comparet's statements here, Germany had very successful fighter pilots during World War II, but concerning bombers, Germany made exclusive use of medium-range and dive-bombers. Hitler never ordered the development of long-range bombers, which was a fatal mistake, but German strategy put the dive-bomber above the fighter pilots in priority, absolutely an offensive, and not a defensive strategy. See *Conquest to Collapse: The Luftwaffe in WW II & the Fatal Errors of the High Command*, by Trevor Constable, in *The Barnes Review*, January-February 2004, p. 14. *W.R.F.*

Note #15: While Nazi Germany was Socialist in name, it was actually a Fascist government in practice. Fascism entails the cooperation between a strong central government and a nation's industry and capital. "Industrialists" such as Thyssen would have no choice but to comply with Hitler's government. Yet I would dismiss any of what Thyssen had to say as propaganda, since he is a Jew and there is no truth in him. This attitude may offend the sensibilities of many, yet I must assert that it is a perfectly Christian attitude: John 8:44, Acts 13:10 et al. *W.R.F.*

Note #16: Here Comparet rightly puts the blame on the Jews – where it belongs – for the destruction of Europe in the two World Wars. So how was Adolph Hitler a traitor, or a mad-man, simply because he stood against the Jews? And who has labeled him as traitor or mad-man, if not the Jews?! *W.R.F.*

Note #17: Comparet here reads Armageddon literally, as a place in Palestine, rather than symbolically. He neglected to consider Revelation 20:8-9, for Gog and Magog surround "the camp of the saints" at this last great battle, and that certainly is not Palestine! One must read Clifton A. Emahiser's *The Problems With Ezekiel 38 And 39* for a fuller study of this topic, and the likely location of the symbolic Armageddon of the Revelation. *W.R.F.*

Note #18: Here I must disagree quite strongly with Comparet, for there is no distinction between Israel, the body of Christ (as he cites 1 Cor. 12:27) and Israel, the bride of Christ (as Paul tells those same Corinthians at 2 Cor. 11:2) and Israel the ekklesia (those who are called), often in the A.V. translated "church"; yet Israel is the "church", whether or not they are all assembled into one place, evident even in the A.V. translation at 1 Cor. 14:23, and the Corinthians, who were primarily Dorian Greeks, certainly were among the many descendants of the children of Israel (1 Cor. 10:1, 2 Cor. 6:16-18). While many strangers have co-opted the word "church", only the children of Israel are the ekklesia of Yahweh, and so Comparet has made a false distinction (Isa. 43:1, 7; 45:4; 48:1, 12, 15; 51:2; 54:5-6; 63:18-19 ... Romans 1:6-7, for the original Romans were also descendants of the Israelites; 1 Cor. 1:1-2; Gal. 5:13, for the Greeks and Kelts of Galatia were also among the descendants of the Israelites; Eph. 4:1-4, the Ephesians were mostly Greek and Roman Israelites with Japhethites among them, as also were the Philippians, Colossians and Thessalonians; Phil. 2:15; 3:3, 21; Col. 1:2; 3:15; 1 Thess. 2:12; 2 Thess. 2:14). In response to Comparet's statement that "no man marries his own body", which in this case I find to be sophistic, I can only refer to Gen. 2:24. Israel was already married to Yahweh, and therefore Israel is already His body, His flesh! Yet Israel was divorced, but will be remarried to Christ who is Yahweh in the flesh. *W.R.F.*